David Gang
@davidgang
@davidgang1
@davidgang.bsky.social

1/ THREAD:

THE TWITTER FILES: HOW TWITTER RIGGED THE CONVERSATE

- By censoring info that was true but inconvenient to U.S. govt. policy,
- By discrediting doctors and other experts who disagreed
- By suppressing ordinary users, including some during the CDC's "zero data"

1/ As the Twitter Files have focused on evidence of Twitter's current liberalism, here the company harnessed at a detail of scholarship of the FBI, and here courts reverse the plaintiff's claim of a moderation that was political bias.

What we have yet to cover is Covid. This reporting, for The Free Press, @TheFP, is the first to do so, in three parts.

The Covid Twitter governance presented a dilemma for Twitter and social media platforms in elevate science content and suppress other content about Covid-19.

I learned this as Twitter lawyer Vijaya Gadde told me on August 19, 2022 @ Stanford that the Trump and Biden administrations forced Twitter executives to moderate the conversation around Covid-19 in two ways:

1/ In the case of the pandemic, according to testimony under oath, the Trump office was especially concerned about jokes "joking. They came looking for "help from the tech companies to remove jokes and memes" about "zero to patient zero." Big — about zero case or general zero.

> 1/ Gadde: Trump's Surgeon General [illegible] Twitter to remove jokes and memes about "patient zero." Trump's Surgeon General was concerned about "patient zero." Joke about "patient zero." Joke about "patient zero." Joke