

Matt Taibbi @mtaibbi 1.64M followers

Dec 18 · 14 tweets · 4 min read

Bookmark Save as PDF My Authors

1.THREAD: Twitter Files Supplemental

In July of 2020, San Francisco FBI agent Elvis Chan tells Twitter executive Yoel Roth to expect written questions from the Foreign Influence Task Force (FITF), the inter-agency group that deals with cyber threats.

Hi Yoel, I believe FITF would like a response ahead of our meeting the week of August 10th. It can be a written response or we can set up a phone call. Whatever is easiest for you. I think you can tell from the nature of the questions, that there was quite a bit of discussion within the USIC to get clarifications from your company. Let me know how you would like to proceed. Thanks! Regards, Elvis

The questionnaire authors seem displeased with Twitter for implying, in a July 20th "DHS/ODNI/FBI/Industry briefing," that "you indicated you had not observed much recent activity from official propaganda actors on your platform."

During the 10 June 2020 working group meeting on election security, you indicated you had not observed much recent activity from official propaganda actors on your platform. To make sure we understand the state-media online landscape as much as possible, we hope you would be able to answer some questions about your analysis and conclusions. For context, other sources we are aware of (including those referenced below) indicate state media actors are prolific users of social media, which seems in contrast to your own analysis as we documented it at the time of our discussion. We would appreciate any other information you are willing to provide about your recent conclusions:
- In what ways and by what measures do you see official propaganda actors as less active than other groups on your platform? What groups are you comparing to official propaganda actors?
- What official propaganda actors did you include in your analysis? How do you differentiate official propaganda actors from foreign state actors and what is the reasoning to make that distinction? In which bucket do you place, for example, outlets such as Ruptly or In the NOW, which are part of the state media apparatus but which seek to obscure that link by using different branding? Are they included in your analysis?
- What quantitative metrics do you use to judge volume of activity on your platform? On what scale? Can you provide these metrics?
- What relative weight do you give each metric when judging volume of activity?
- What qualitative measures do you use to inform judgments about activity, including the volume of activity, on your platform?
- How do you limit the scope of your analysis of the domestic, scam, foreign state, official propaganda, and white supremacist actors? (i.e., date range, language, location, topic, etc.)
References:
Oxford Internet Institute, University of Oxford, "Covid-19 News and Information from State-Backed Outlets Targeting French, German and Spanish-Speaking Social Media Users," 29 June 2020
Foreign Policy Research Institute, "Russia's Narratives about U.S. Election Integrity in 2020," 25 May 2020
Oxford Internet Institute, University of Oxford, "Coronavirus Coverage by State-Backed English-Language News Sources Understanding Chinese, Iranian, Russian and Turkish Government Media," 8 April 2020
Mercator Institute for China Studies, "Propaganda beyond the Great Firewall," 5 December 2019
Wall Street Journal, "Russia State News Outlet RT Thrives on YouTube, Facebook," 24 October 2017

One would think that would be good news. The agencies seemed to feel otherwise.

Chan underscored this: "There was quite a bit of discussion within the USIC to get clarifications from your company," he wrote, referring to the United States Intelligence Community.

The task force demanded to know how Twitter came to its unpopular conclusion. Oddly, it included a bibliography of public sources - including a Wall Street Journal article - attesting to the prevalence of foreign threats, as if to show Twitter they got it wrong.

Roth, receiving the questions, circulated them with other company executives, and complained that he was "frankly perplexed by the requests here, which seem more like something we'd get from a congressional committee than the Bureau."

Privileged and Confidential
Hi team, The questions we received are attached. I'm frankly perplexed by the requests here, which seem more like something we'd get from a congressional committee than the Bureau. There's a big discussion to be had about state-controlled media, which will be impacted by the label launcher later this month — but I'm not particularly comfortable with the Bureau (and by extension the IC) demanding written answers here. What's your perspective on how best to navigate? Thanks, Yoel

He added he was not "comfortable with the Bureau (and by extension the IC) demanding written answers." The idea of the FBI acting as conduit for the Intelligence Community is interesting, given that many agencies are barred from domestic operations.

He then sent another note internally, saying the premise of the questions was "flawed," because "we've been clear that official state propaganda is definitely a thing on Twitter." Note the italics for emphasis.

Privileged and Confidential
One other follow-up: In rereading the doc, the entire premise seems flawed. In our June 2020 briefing, we did not indicate that we "had not observed much recent activity from official propaganda actors on your platform." I re-reviewed my notes from that briefing, and there's a specific item calling out official propaganda outlets as a major factor. And in multiple follow-ups with Elvis and we've been clear that official state propaganda is definitely a thing on Twitter, but that it's different in terms of how we handle it than clandestine fake accounts. My recommendation is to get on the phone with Elvis ASAP and try to straighten this out. I'm concerned that there's still somewhere in the IC about a statement that may have been fundamentally misunderstood. Would you be ok with me reaching out to Elvis today to try to do that, in advance of more formally engaging with the doc they sent? Yoel

Roth suggested they "get on the phone with Elvis ASAP and try to straighten this out," to disabuse the agencies of any notion that state propaganda is not a "thing" on Twitter.

This exchange is odd among other things because some of the "bibliography" materials cited by the FITF are sourced to intelligence officials, who in turn cited the public sources.

The FBI responded to Friday's report by saying it "regularly engages with private sector entities to provide information specific to identified foreign malign influence actors' subversive, undeclared, covert, or criminal activities."

Twitter post by Jon Nicosia (@NewsPolitics) from Dec 16, 2022: "The FBI replies to @mtaibbi "The FBI regularly engages with private sector entities to provide information specific to identified foreign malign influence actors' subversive, undeclared, covert, or criminal activities. Private sector entities independently make decisions about" 789 Retweets 240 Quote Tweets 3,958 Likes

That may be true, but we haven't seen that in the documents to date. Instead, we've mostly seen requests for moderation involving low-follower accounts belonging to ordinary Americans — and Billy Baldwin.

Watch @bariweiss and @ShellenbergerMD for more from the Twitter Files.

Missing some Tweet in this thread? You can try to force a refresh. Tweet Share Email

Keep Current with Matt Taibbi. Stay in touch and get notified when new unrolls are available from this author! Add to "My Authors" Read all threads

This Thread may be Removed Anytime! Twitter may remove this content at anytime! Save it as PDF for later use! Save this thread as PDF

People who liked this thread also liked...

Bari Weiss @bariweiss Dec 12. THREAD: THE TWITTER FILES PART FIVE. THE REMOVAL OF TRUMP FROM TWITTER. 1. On the morning of January 8, President Donald Trump, with one remaining strike before being at risk of permanent suspension from Twitter, tweets twice. Read 47 tweets

Matt Taibbi @mtaibbi Dec 16. 1. THREAD: The Twitter Files, Part Six TWITTER, THE FBI SUBSIDIARY 2. The #TwitterFiles are revealing more every day about how the government collects, analyzes, and flags your social media content. 3. Twitter's contact with the FBI was Read 45 tweets

Name Redacted @NameRedacted247 Dec 19. 1. After learning that Twitter employs at least 15 former FBI agents, I searched Facebook. What I found is alarming Facebook currently employs at least 115 people, in high-ranking positions, that formerly worked at FBI/CIA/NSA/DHS: 17 CIA 37 FBI 23 NSA 38 DHS Thread 2. All, but a few, of the former Read 60 tweets

Try unrolling a thread yourself!

Replying to @wrathofgnon @threadreaderapp unroll Wrath Of Gnon @wrathofgnon · Jan 6 In in Huallen County a private Gha butterfly reserve is being used to also grow indigo plants, which were a major cash crop until about a century ago. Underneath the trees indigo plants provide food and shelter for the butterfly; excess indigo leaves are harvested and sold.

- 1. Follow @ThreadReaderApp to mention us! 2. From a Twitter thread mention us with a keyword "unroll" #threadreaderapp unroll Practice here first or read more on our help page!

More from @mtaibbi

Matt Taibbi @mtaibbi Dec 16. 1. THREAD: The Twitter Files, Part Six TWITTER, THE FBI SUBSIDIARY 2. The #TwitterFiles are revealing more every day about how the government collects, analyzes, and flags your social media content. 3. Twitter's contact with the FBI was Read 45 tweets

Matt Taibbi @mtaibbi Dec 9. 1. THREAD: The Twitter Files THE REMOVAL OF DONALD TRUMP Part One: October 2020-January 6th 2. The world knows much of the story of what happened between riots at the Capitol on January 6th, and the removal of President Donald Trump from Twitter on January 8th... Read 65 tweets

Matt Taibbi @mtaibbi Dec 6. THREAD: Twitter Files Supplemental On Friday, the first installment of the Twitter files was published here. We expected to publish more over the weekend. Many wondered why there was a delay. We can now tell you part of the reason why. On Tuesday, Twitter Deputy Read 10 tweets

Matt Taibbi @mtaibbi Dec 2. 1. Thread: THE TWITTER FILES 2. What you're about to read is the first installment in a series, based upon thousands of internal documents obtained by sources at Twitter. 3. The "Twitter Files" tell an incredible story from inside one of the world's largest and most influential social Read 40 tweets

Matt Taibbi @mtaibbi Jun 7. Thread. 1) Thirty or forty years ago, supporting free speech was understood to be a non-negotiable core value of the liberal left. taibbi.substack.com/p/democrats-an... 2) Pop culture endlessly celebrated liberalism's uncompromising support of speech rights — from The People Vs. Larry Flynt to Field of Dreams to The Read 15 tweets



Did Thread Reader help you today?

Support us! We are indie developers!

This site is made by just two indie developers on a laptop doing marketing, support and development! Read more about the story.

Become a Premium Member (\$3/month or \$30/year) and get exclusive features!

Become Premium

Don't want to be a Premium member but still want to support us?

Make a small donation by buying us coffee (\$5) or help with server cost (\$10)

Donate via Paypal

Or Donate anonymously using crypto!

Ethereum

0xfE58359B80634f60FA6Dc149a72b4DFbc17D341E copy

Bitcoin

3ATGMxNzCUFzxpMCHL5SwSt4DVtSBUqXp1 copy

Thank you for your support!