

LETTERS TO AMERICA #74

May 2, 2024 14:45:45 EST

Q !!120613817 ID: 177645 No. [5406-5910-5856-050224](#) 

#74: CONTINUITY OF GOVERNMENT [DEVOLUTION] AND DONALD J. TRUMP COMMANDER IN CHIEF: Navigating Executive Power of EO 13818, Governance, and Legal Frameworks in the Crucible of Power and Accountability

At this pivotal moment in time, America finds itself entrenched within a crisis of unprecedented magnitude, one surpassing the gravity of even the tumultuous period of 1776. This isn't merely a crisis; it's a confluence of conflicts. At its core lies an exhaustive information war, but paramount to that, a spiritual battle for the essence of America and the very souls of its people, reminiscent of biblical proportions. The annals of the last fifteen decades bear witness to an insidious proliferation of corruption, tyranny, and moral decay within the fabric of America. The magnitude of malevolence, treachery, sedition, and blatant disregard for the sanctity of human rights, freedom, and liberty, orchestrated by a cabal of global elites driven by insatiable greed and thirst for power, is nothing short of abhorrent. We find ourselves navigating through a juncture in time where the imperative for every American, every patriot, to rise in defiance, to safeguard our liberties and resist the encroaching tyranny lest they slip through our fingers forever. Letters To America serves as a beacon of truth amidst the pervasive fog of deception, illuminating the shadows of deceit that have enveloped our government for generations, empowering you to discern the truth amidst the pervasive darkness of corruption and manipulation, offering insights into the entrenched evils and pervasive corruption that have ensnared our government and compromised the very essence of America, all for the pursuit of personal gain.

Letters to America is a very detailed collection of intel and information based on the truth that the American people need to know about that has been hidden in the shadows and suppressed for far too long. Letters to America is not just a compilation of facts and data; it embodies a profound commitment to unveiling the concealed realities that the American public

deserves to be aware of, truths obscured in the obscure corners and silenced by the mainstream media [FAKE NEWS] outlets. It is a repository of untold stories and hidden narratives and agendas, shunned and suppressed by the behemoth of big tech platforms, including the likes of Facebook. The driving force behind Letters to America is singular and unwavering: the dissemination of unfiltered, unvarnished truth to the people of this great nation. Its mission is to empower individuals to awaken to the veracity that surrounds them, to be informed people, capable of making choices and decisions rooted in the bedrock of truth rather than the quicksand of misinformation, lies and deceit. With depth, integrity, character, and purpose, Letters to America aspires to be the torchbearer of honesty in an era where the clarity of truth is often overshadowed by obscurity.

In today's Letter to America, like always, we embark on a profound journey into the depths of our collective consciousness, where uncomfortable truths reside that are waiting to be acknowledged and confronted. As we navigate the tumultuous waters of our world, it becomes clearly evident that our awareness, or lack thereof, profoundly shapes our understanding of the narratives that unfold before us. The revelations that are chronicled within this letter unveil hidden truths that will challenge preconceived notions, test the boundaries of our beliefs, and ultimately, illuminate the path towards a more enlightened existence. It is in our capacity and our willingness to explore these unsettling truths, to engage with them authentically, and to foster a deeper sense of integrity that will pave the way for a nation that transcends division and seeks the profound unity that binds us all as Americans.

Your level of awakening and consciousness serves as a lens through which the intricate layers of meaning within today's letter unfold, revealing the profound wisdom and insight chronicled within its words. As you delve deeper into the text, your heightened awareness allows you to grasp the subtle nuances and hidden truths hidden between the lines, enriching your understanding of the message and the world around you.

-

Within the annals of power and politics, the resonance of Executive Order 13818 echoes not merely as a decree of authority but as a seismic shift in the landscape of governance. Its implications reverberate with the weight of decisions made, alliances forged, and principles upheld or forsaken. Yet, amidst the clamor of compliance, there lies a profound narrative of human frailty, ambition, and the inexorable march of accountability. To witness the capitulation of individuals and institutions under its mandate

is to confront the inherent tension between autonomy and responsibility, between the pursuit of self-interest and the obligations of collective welfare. Each signature appended to its clauses bears testament not only to acquiescence but to the fragile balance between personal conviction and the exigencies of power. Yet, within this crucible of governance, there exists the potential for redemption, for the acknowledgment of past transgressions and the commitment to chart a course towards renewal. For to watch them fall is not merely to revel in the spectacle of downfall but to discern the contours of resilience, of the human capacity for introspection and transformation. It is a reminder that within the corridors of power, integrity and character are not merely commodities to be traded but enduring currencies that define the legacy of leadership. Thus, as they fall, so too do the veils of deception and hubris, paving the way for a reckoning that transcends the ephemeral allure of authority, and beckons towards a future forged in the crucible of truth and accountability.

In delving into the vast corridors of power and policy, navigating the fraught terrain of human rights discourse via Executive Order 13818 within the tumultuous sphere of the Trump administration demands a nuanced reckoning with both acknowledgment and critique. Amidst the cacophony of conflicting narratives, the voices of those well-intentioned yet beleaguered officials echo with a refrain that resonates with the complexities of moral responsibility and the exigencies of pragmatic governance. Their plea for recognition of the incremental strides towards human rights promotion and the upholding of the rule of law unveils a narrative fraught with tension, where the shadows of past transgressions loom large, yet flickers of progress illuminate the path forward. To engage with this refrain is to confront the contrast between principle and pragmatism, between the idealized aspirations of human rights advocacy and the gritty realities of political expediency. Indeed, within the mosaic of policies and actions emanating from the Trump administration, there exist fragments of genuine effort, however obscured they may be by the tumultuous churn of controversy and critique. Yet, to grant acknowledgment to these efforts is not to absolve the administration of its broader legacy of combating the erosion and neglect of human rights norms, but rather to interrogate the complexities of moral agency within the confines of institutional power. It is a testament to the intrinsic tension between aspiration and achievement, between the pursuit of lofty ideals and the sobering constraints of realpolitik. Thus, within the crucible of Executive Order 13818, characterized by the clash of convictions and the imperatives of governance, emerges a narrative imbued with both depth and integrity, where the quest for recognition intertwines with the imperative of

accountability, and where the contours of character are shaped amidst the crucible of conscience and consequence.

To grasp the importance of laws and executive orders shaping the military operations and global alliances under President Trump's command, one must embark on a journey through the annals of legal frameworks and governmental directives. At the core lies a labyrinth of statutes, from the foundational U.S. Constitution to the provisions of legislation, which delineate the scope and limits of executive authority in matters of defense and international relations. These laws form the bedrock upon which the Military Operation and World Alliance of Generals operate, channeling their actions within the bounds of legality and accountability.

Executive orders, endowed with the force of law, serve as pivotal instruments in translating presidential directives into tangible actions. Through these directives, Donald J. Trump as the Commander in Chief of the current and ongoing military operation articulates strategic imperatives, mobilizes resources, and establishes frameworks for cooperation with allied nations. Each executive order is imbued with a distinct character, reflecting the administration's vision, values, and geopolitical priorities. Delving into the minutiae of these orders unveils the intricate tapestry of military strategy, diplomatic maneuvering, and interagency coordination underpinning the global efforts spearheaded by President Trump and his appointed generals.

However, to comprehend the full extent of these legal and executive instruments is not merely an exercise in procedural understanding; it is an exploration of the values and principles that animate the conduct of nations in the pursuit of security and stability. Embedded within these laws and directives are the aspirations for peace, the imperatives of national defense, and the complexities of navigating a world fraught with geopolitical challenges. They reflect the solemn duty of leaders to safeguard the interests of their people while upholding the principles of justice, freedom, and human rights. Thus, to understand ALL of the Laws and Executive Orders shaping the Military Operation and World Alliance of Generals under President Trump's command is to embark on a quest for deeper insight into the dynamics of power, the imperatives of governance, and the enduring quest for a more secure and just world order.

THE CURRENT AND ONGOING MILITARY OPERATION.

It's very important to understand that from 2017 and even through 2024 Donald John Trump is the acting Commander in Chief of the entire Military Operation we have been watching play out over the last 7 years.

To fully grasp the significance of Donald John Trump's role as the acting Commander in Chief of the United States Military operation from 2017 through 2024, one must delve into the complexities of global security dynamics and the imperatives of continuity in governance [Devolution]. As the face of the nation's military might, Trump embodies not only the authority vested in the office of the President but also the weight of responsibility in safeguarding national interests and promoting stability on the world stage. His leadership extends beyond mere symbolism; it embodies the collective will and strategic vision of a nation navigating through tumultuous geopolitical waters.

Operating within an alliance of world generals and international bodies such as the UN Security Council, Trump's role as Commander in Chief underscores the interconnectedness of global security architectures and the imperative of multilateral cooperation in addressing shared challenges. This alliance signifies a convergence of interests and values among nations committed to upholding peace, security, and the rule of law. Trump's alignment with the Continuity of Government [Devolution] further underscores the commitment to preserving institutional stability and resilience in the face of crises, ensuring the uninterrupted functioning of essential governmental functions even in the most challenging of circumstances.

However, understanding Trump's role as the linchpin of this military operation transcends mere recognition of his formal title; it speaks to the character, integrity, and purpose underlying his leadership. Through his actions and decisions, Trump shapes the trajectory of global affairs, leaving an indelible imprint on the geopolitical landscape. His commitment to the principles of sovereignty, national security, and American exceptionalism defines the character of the Military operation under his command, resonating with allies and adversaries alike. Thus, to appreciate the significance of Trump's role as the acting Commander in Chief is to reckon with the intricate interplay of power, principle, and pragmatism in shaping the course of history in an ever-evolving world order.

The intricate web of legal proceedings and indictments surrounding Donald J. Trump, orchestrated by individuals like Alvin Bragg, Letitia James, Fani Willis, and special counsel Jack Smith, holds far-reaching implications beyond mere political maneuvering. Indeed, these actions, while ostensibly aimed at holding one man accountable, are setting precedents that may reverberate across generations, shaping the future landscape of American jurisprudence. Trump himself, in a moment of prescient insight on November 18, 2022, emphasized the imperative of investigating all presidents,

underscoring the principle of equality before the law. This call resonates profoundly when one considers that the legal frameworks being invoked, such as Executive Order 12148 from the Carter era or the directives signed by George W. Bush in 2007, have enduring relevance and applicability transcending political affiliations.

The National Emergencies Act of 1976, signed by Jimmy Carter, and subsequent directives like NSPD 51/HSPD-20 represent pillars of governance designed to address crises and ensure continuity. These laws, crafted with meticulous foresight, underscore the interconnectedness of governance and security. The Federal Resiliency Act of 2020, building upon the National Security Act of 1947, further exemplifies the enduring nature of legislation aimed at safeguarding the nation's interests. Each of these measures, while distinct in focus, converges on a central theme: the imperative of preparedness and response in the face of evolving threats.

Amidst this legal tapestry, the significance of Executive Order 13527, issued by President Obama in 2009, takes on a heightened resonance. Its provisions for the timely provision of medical countermeasures following a biological attack underscore the enduring relevance of executive action in safeguarding national security. Importantly, these orders and directives are not isolated instruments but are part of a cohesive framework aimed at ensuring the resilience and continuity of governance. As such, they serve as a testament to the enduring commitment of successive administrations to uphold the rule of law and protect the American people.

In the unfolding saga of legal battles and indictments, it is crucial to recognize the broader context in which these events occur. Beyond the personalities involved, beyond the partisan rhetoric, lies a deeper narrative of institutional integrity and the pursuit of justice. The precedents being set today will echo far into the future, shaping the contours of accountability and governance for generations to come. In this crucible of legal scrutiny, the true test of a democracy's strength lies not in the outcome of individual cases but in its fidelity to the principles of fairness, transparency, and the rule of law.

COVID WAS PLANNED A LONG TIME AGO

The weight of responsibility lies heavy upon the shoulders of those who step into the role of President of the United States. It's a position fraught with complexities, where decisions can shape the course of history. To comprehend the intricacies of such decisions, one must delve into the legal framework that underpins the authority wielded by the President, particularly in times of crisis. The invocation of a National Emergency is

not a whimsical act but a carefully orchestrated process outlined in meticulous detail within the annals of legal code.

In examining the statutes such as 50 United States Code 1621 and the Stafford Act delineated in 42 United States Code 5121, one finds the blueprint for the executive action required during times of crisis. These laws form the backbone of emergency preparedness, laying out the framework for collaboration between federal, state, and local entities to mitigate the impacts of disasters. It is through these legislative instruments that the President exercises the authority to declare a National Emergency, unlocking a suite of resources and powers to address the pressing needs of the nation.

Yet, the power to declare a National Emergency is not unchecked. The termination of such a declaration rests solely in the hands of the President who invoked it, as outlined in 50 United States Code 34. This provision underscores the principle of executive authority balanced with accountability, ensuring that the exercise of emergency powers remains tethered to democratic oversight. It's a reminder that while the President holds considerable authority in times of crisis, it is not without limits or scrutiny.

In scrutinizing the timeline, such as the Federal Continuity Directive 1, one gains insight into the continuity of government protocols and the transition of power. The timestamp of January 17, 2017, serves as a powerful reminder of the solemn duty undertaken by the incoming President [Donald J. Trump]. It signifies the seamless transfer of authority, where the stewardship of the nation's security transitions from one administration to the next. Understanding these legal mechanisms and historical precedents is essential in deciphering the complexities of governance, illuminating the pathways through which leadership navigates the tumultuous seas of national crisis with integrity, character, and purpose.

**U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Federal Emergency Management Agency
Federal Continuity Directive 1
Issue Date: January 17, 2017**



Federal Continuity Directive 1

<https://www.gpo.gov/docs/default-source/accessibility-privacy-coop-files/January2017FCD1-2.p>

Lets look at Annex I and J:

ANNEX I: DEVOLUTION

Devolution is the capability to transfer statutory authority and responsibility from an organization's primary operating staff and facilities to other designated staff and alternate locations to sustain essential functions. A continuity plan's devolution option addresses how an organization will identify and transfer organizational command and control, as well as responsibility for performing essential functions to personnel at a geographically dispersed location unaffected by the incident.

Organizations may activate their DERG as a short-term option while ERG members relocate to their alternate location(s). Additionally, organizations may choose to partially devolve by transferring responsibilities for select essential functions or devolve to multiple sites by transferring responsibilities for particular essential functions to various sites.

The devolution counterpart must have the capability to perform essential functions as soon as possible but not later than 12 hours after devolution plan activation and must be able to sustain operations for a minimum of 30 days or until normal operations are resumed. When selecting a devolution location, organizations must consider the capabilities of the location to ensure it has the communications, systems, equipment, and resources pre-positioned or available within the accepted timeframe to assume responsibility for performance of essential functions. Personnel assigned devolution responsibilities who perform continuity operations are referred to as the DERG. The organization must prepare the DERG to conduct continuity operations through its test, training, and exercise program. Organizations should also consider the development of support documentation such as training and job aids, standard operating procedures, desk guides, and handbooks.

REQUIREMENTS AND CRITERIA FOR DEVOLUTION:

1. Develop a plan for a devolution option for continuity to address conduct of essential functions when the primary operating facility, and/or ERG members are not available.
 - a. The plan must identify both active and passive triggers that result in the activation and implementation of the devolution plan.
 - b. The plan must specify how and when direction and control of organization operations will transfer to and from the devolution location.
 - c. Organizations must determine the necessary resources to facilitate an immediate and seamless transfer of functions to the devolution location.
 - d. The plan must list the necessary resources, such as equipment and materials, to facilitate the performance of essential functions at the devolution site.
 - e. The plan must address the following elements of continuity: program management, plans, and procedures; essential functions; orders of succession; delegations of authority; continuity communications and information systems; essential records management; alternate locations; telework; human resources; devolution; reconstitution; and test, training and exercise.
 - f. The plan must outline procedures for the transition of responsibilities to personnel at the primary operating facilities upon termination of devolution.

Maintain a roster of trained personnel capable of performing devolution operations (DERG). The roster should include not only primary personnel but also alternates or back-up personnel in sufficient quantity. Rosters must be updated periodically and include, at a minimum, names and office and government-issued cell telephone numbers.

3. Provide annual training on roles and responsibilities for personnel, including host or contractor personnel, who are assigned to activate, support, and sustain devolution operations. Training must include:
 - a. Organization devolution plan processes and procedures;
 - b. Communications and IT systems that will be used during devolution operations;
 - c. Identification, protection, and availability of electronic and hardcopy documents, references, records, information systems, and data management software and equipment (including classified and other sensitive data) needed to support devolved essential functions during devolution operations; and,
 - d. How the organization identifies and conducts its essential functions during an increased threat situation or in the aftermath of a catastrophic emergency that activates the devolution plan.
4. Conduct a biennial exercise for DERG members to demonstrate their familiarity with devolution procedures. This exercise may be part of annual continuity exercises (Eagle Horizon) or it may be conducted separately.
 - a. Familiarize DERG members with devolution plan processes and procedures.
 - b. Demonstrate familiarity with reconstitution plans and procedures for the original primary operating facility and replacement primary operating facility.

ANNEX J: RECONSTITUTION

PPD-40 defines reconstitution as “the process by which surviving and/or replacement D/A personnel resume normal operations.” Reconstitution embodies the ability of an organization to recover from a continuity activation that disrupts normal operations so that the organization can resume its operations as a fully functional entity of the Federal Government. As an element of continuity, reconstitution simultaneously sustains essential functions while coordinating the resumption of normal operations. Since reconstitution planning begins at the start of a

continuity event, organizations should identify a reconstitution team with leadership, staff, and resources dedicated and separate from existing continuity support. In some cases, extensive coordination may be necessary to procure a new operating facility, backfill staff, reestablish communications and IT infrastructure, restore essential records, and take other actions to return the organization to normal operations. Some of the activities involved with reconstitution include:

1. Assessing the status of the affected facility or facilities, personnel, systems, records, and other resources.
2. Determining how much time is needed to replace, repair, or otherwise reconstitute facilities, personnel, systems, records, and other resources.
3. Supervising facility repairs or other reconstitution efforts, if appropriate.
4. Notifying decision makers of the status of reconstitution efforts, including estimates of when the repairs will be completed, if appropriate.
5. Implementing a priority-based phased approach to reconstitution.
6. Periodically providing status updates of reconstitution activities through the submission of Reconstitution Status Reports (RSRs) to FEMA through the RRS.

Identifying reconstitution requirements assist organizations to identify, develop, and coordinate a plan to resume normal operations. Just as an organization's capacity to perform essential functions relies upon the four pillars of continuity - leadership, staff, communications, and facilities - an organization's capability to reconstitute likewise depends upon these pillars. Communications enables an organization to notify staff that the necessity for continuity operations no longer exists and to provide instructions for resumption of normal operations. Non-ERG staff augment the ERG to resume non-essential functions. Leadership determines priorities and supervises the orderly return to normal operation. Organizations assess the status of affected facilities and transition back into the primary operating facility or another designated facility. As detailed in PPD-40, DHS/FEMA will facilitate and coordinate the activities of GSA, Office of Personnel Management (OPM), and NARA in the implementation of reconstitution of the Executive Office of the President and D/As and will inform the NCC of the Executive Branch's reconstitution status.

FEMA, GSA, OPM, and NARA support reconstitution of the Executive Branch in the following areas:

- FEMA: Monitors and reports the continuity status of Executive Branch organizations, assesses potential impact to COOP, facilitates information sharing among operational nodes of the Federal Government, provides continuity input to the DHS Common Operating Picture, monitors the operation of continuity communications systems, and assists with reconstitution of the Executive Branch.
- GSA: Provides facility services for temporary space acquisition or identification of a new permanent facility to include contracted support as well as coordinating repairs to damaged facilities.
- OPM: Provides assistance on human resources policy to ensure the Federal Government has the necessary tools to support a civilian workforce.
- NARA: Provides guidance and advice to reconstitution teams regarding preservation of records; logistics, stabilizing, securing, and managing archives; and assistance with records and recovery service contracts.

PPD-40 requires Federal D/As to report reconstitution requirements to GSA. To assist in scoping of the Federal Government's reconstitution plans and programs, organizations should internally identify and document anticipated reconstitution needs for their HQ facilities located within the NCR by completing and submitting Standard Form 2050 (SF-2050), Reconstitution Questionnaire.

- a. D/As with access to classified systems must annually register and submit their completed forms to <https://gsapergamum.gold.ic.gov>.
- b. D/As without access to classified systems can access the form via the internet at <http://www.gsa.gov/forms> and can annually submit their unclassified information via fax to 817-207-6314 or 312-353-9307.
- c. Organizations are required to annually review and submit their SF-2050 to GSA. Organizations may contact GSA's Office of Mission Assurance at 202-219-0338 for further instructions.

REQUIREMENTS AND CRITERIA FOR RECONSTITUTION OPERATIONS:

1. Designation of a Reconstitution Manager to oversee all phases of the reconstitution process.
2. Develop a plan to recover from the effects of a disruption in operating conditions and to support the transition back to normal operations once a threat or disruption has passed.

This plan must:

- a. Determine how the organization will assess the status of its affected personnel, assets, and facilities;
 - b. Outline the necessary procedures for conducting a smooth transition from the continuity facility to either the normal primary facility, another temporary facility, or a new permanent facility;
 - c. Detail how the organization will verify operational capability and availability of systems, communications, essential records, infrastructure, and other required resources. Establish that the organization is fully capable of accomplishing all essential functions and operations at the new or restored facility;
 - d. Identify how the organization will determine if any records were affected by the incident to ensure an effective transition or recovery of essential records;
 - e. Include redeployment plans for phasing down continuity facility operations and supervising the return of operations, personnel, records, and equipment to the primary or other operating facility in a priority-based approach; and,
 - f. Detail how the organization will instruct all personnel on how to resume normal operations.
3. Organizations must comply with GSA's requirement for completion and submission of GSA Standard Form 2050 (SF-2050), Reconstitution Questionnaire.
- a. Initial submission of SF-2050 to GSA.
 - b. Annual review and/or resubmission of SF-2050 to GSA

DEVOLUTION
RECONSTITUTION

Be sure to watch:

The Greatest Show On Earth (2023) Documentary

<http://americanpatriotsocial.com/video1/the-greatest-show-on-earth-2023-documentary/>