

# LETTERS TO AMERICA #78

May 6, 2024 13:28:45 EST

Q !!120613817 ID: 177645 No. [5232-5628-5988-050624](#) 

## **#78 Unveiling the Shadow Government: Navigating the Shadowy Interplay of Bureaucratic Power, Political Intrigue, and the Deep State Agenda in American Governance**

At this pivotal moment in time, America finds itself entrenched within a crisis of unprecedented magnitude, one surpassing the gravity of even the tumultuous period of 1776. This isn't merely a crisis; it's a confluence of conflicts. At its core lies an exhaustive information war, but paramount to that, a spiritual battle for the essence of America and the very souls of its people, reminiscent of biblical proportions. The annals of the last fifteen decades bear witness to an insidious proliferation of corruption, tyranny, and moral decay within the fabric of America. The magnitude of malevolence, treachery, sedition, and blatant disregard for the sanctity of human rights, freedom, and liberty, orchestrated by a cabal of global elites driven by insatiable greed and thirst for power, is nothing short of abhorrent. We find ourselves navigating through a juncture in time where the imperative for every American, every patriot, to rise in defiance, to safeguard our liberties and resist the encroaching tyranny lest they slip through our fingers forever. Letters To America serves as a beacon of truth amidst the pervasive fog of deception, illuminating the shadows of deceit that have enveloped our government for generations, empowering you to discern the truth amidst the pervasive darkness of corruption and manipulation, offering insights into the entrenched evils and pervasive corruption that have ensnared our government and compromised the very essence of America, all for the pursuit of personal gain.

Letters to America is a very detailed collection of intel and information based on the truth that the American people need to know about that has been hidden in the shadows and suppressed for far too long. Letters to America is not just a compilation of facts and data; it embodies a profound commitment to unveiling the concealed realities that the American public deserves to be aware of, truths obscured in the obscure corners and

silenced by the mainstream media [FAKE NEWS] outlets. It is a repository of untold stories and hidden narratives and agendas, shunned and suppressed by the behemoth of big tech platforms, including the likes of Facebook. The driving force behind Letters to America is singular and unwavering: the dissemination of unfiltered, unvarnished truth to the people of this great nation. Its mission is to empower individuals to awaken to the veracity that surrounds them, to be informed people, capable of making choices and decisions rooted in the bedrock of truth rather than the quicksand of misinformation, lies and deceit. With depth, integrity, character, and purpose, Letters to America aspires to be the torchbearer of honesty in an era where the clarity of truth is often overshadowed by obscurity.

In today's Letter to America, like always, we embark on a profound journey into the depths of our collective consciousness, where uncomfortable truths reside that are waiting to be acknowledged and confronted. As we navigate the tumultuous waters of our world, it becomes clearly evident that our awareness, or lack thereof, profoundly shapes our understanding of the narratives that unfold before us. The revelations that are chronicled within this letter unveil hidden truths that will challenge preconceived notions, test the boundaries of our beliefs, and ultimately, illuminate the path towards a more enlightened existence. It is in our capacity and our willingness to explore these unsettling truths, to engage with them authentically, and to foster a deeper sense of integrity that will pave the way for a nation that transcends division and seeks the profound unity that binds us all as Americans.

Your level of awakening and consciousness serves as a lens through which the intricate layers of meaning within today's letter unfold, revealing the profound wisdom and insight chronicled within its words. As you delve deeper into the text, your heightened awareness allows you to grasp the subtle nuances and hidden truths hidden between the lines, enriching your understanding of the message and the world around you.

-

Bureaucrats, entrusted with the solemn duty of administering governance, often find themselves navigating a complex landscape of policy and regulation. While their roles are crucial in maintaining order and efficiency, the absence of direct electoral accountability can sometimes breed a disconnection from the very people they serve. The essence of liberty lies in the principle of representation, where elected officials bear the mandate to enact laws and regulations reflective of the collective will of the people. However, when unelected bureaucrats wield the pen of

legislation, it raises fundamental questions about the legitimacy of their actions. Despite their expertise and dedication, their authority lacks the endorsement bestowed upon elected officials, potentially undermining the foundational principles of governance. The constitution, revered as the bedrock of America's legal framework, serves as a bulwark against arbitrary rule and tyranny. Its sanctity demands adherence to the ideals enshrined within its texts. Yet, when bureaucrats venture beyond the confines of their mandate to craft rules and regulations, they risk encroaching upon the constitutional boundaries that safeguard individual liberties and the separation of powers. Hence, the issue at hand transcends mere procedural concerns; it strikes at the heart of governance and the accountability of power. To uphold the integrity of the governing process, it is imperative to scrutinize the authority wielded by unelected bureaucrats and ensure that their actions remain tethered to the constitutional principles that underpin the fabric of America. For in the delicate balance between governance and liberty, the legitimacy of authority must always find its roots in the consent of the governed.

Deep within the corridors of the US government, a silent clash of ideologies unfolds between entrenched bureaucrats and the ordinary Americans they ostensibly serve. This incongruity, veiled by layers of bureaucracy, poses profound challenges to the very essence of freedom and governance. While the governing process offers a mechanism for the periodic removal and replacement of elected officials, its reach often falters when confronting the entrenched power wielded by long-standing bureaucrats who operate in the shadows of the system. Over years, even decades, these individuals have meticulously crafted a web of regulations and decrees, not merely to fulfill their duties, but to fortify their positions and safeguard their interests. Yet, as their authority extends unchecked, their actions increasingly impinge upon the freedoms and rights of the people. When threatened by any force that challenges their dominance over taxpayer resources, they retaliate with a fervor that belies their obscure presence. The Deep State represents a fundamental distortion of governance, wherein unelected officials wield disproportionate influence over the lives of Americans. To safeguard the principles of liberty and freedom, it becomes imperative to unveil and address the systemic issues that enable the consolidation of power within the bureaucratic apparatus. Only through transparency, accountability, and a renewed commitment to our foundational principles can the shadows be dispelled, and the true spirit of governance restored.

Amidst the formal delineations of executive authority lies a complex interplay of power dynamics that transcends the conventional understanding

of presidential roles and responsibilities. While President Trump assumed the mantle of chief executive officer on paper, the reality of governance unfolds within the intricate web of the Deep State, a vast network comprising millions of federal, military, and state personnel. Within this expansive bureaucracy, where power diffuses across myriad departments, agencies, and organizations, the president's influence is both formidable and finite. Despite occupying the pinnacle of this bureaucratic pyramid, President Trump finds himself navigating a landscape where allegiance and loyalty often diverge from the traditional chains of command. The staggering scale of this bureaucratic behemoth, encompassing millions of individuals with diverse allegiances and interests, underscores the inherent complexity of modern governance. While exact figures elude quantification, it is evident that a significant proportion of these bureaucrats, dispersed across the governmental apparatus, harbor affiliations that transcend partisan lines. Indeed, the legacy of past electoral contests lingers, shaping the allegiances and predispositions of those embedded within the bureaucracy. Whether by choice or circumstance, the convergence of bureaucratic power and political allegiance blurs the boundaries of executive authority, casting a shadow over the efficacy and legitimacy of presidential governance. In confronting this intricate tapestry of bureaucratic influence, President Trump grappled not merely with the formal constraints of his office, but with the diffuse network of allegiances that animate the machinery of government. Thus, within the corridors of power, the true nature of presidential authority unfolds as a nuanced interplay between formal prerogatives and the invisible threads of bureaucratic influence.

While serving as the US National Security Council Director for Strategic Planning within the Trump administration, Rich Higgins distributed a memo in which the Deep State is referred to more than once:

**“The successful outcome of cultural Marxism is a bureaucratic state beholden to no one, certainly not the American people. [Emphasis added.] With no rule of law considerations outside those that further deep state power, the deep state truly becomes, as Hegel advocated, god bestriding the earth.”**

In the corridors of power, where whispers carry weight and every word is scrutinized, Rich Higgins' memo offers a chilling glimpse into the shadowy depths of political intrigue and institutional machinations. As the US National Security Council Director for Strategic Planning under the Trump administration, Higgins' observations cut through the veneer of official discourse to expose the specter of the Deep State looming ominously over

the landscape of governance. Through his stark portrayal, he unveils a narrative of ideological subversion and bureaucratic dominance, wherein the insidious tendrils of cultural Marxism entwine with the levers of statecraft to forge a bureaucratic behemoth untethered from accountability. In Higgins' portrayal, the Deep State emerges not merely as a clandestine cabal, but as an ideological construct transcending partisan divides, ensnaring even Republican leaders within its labyrinthine grasp. With each reference, Higgins peels back the layers of deception, revealing a landscape where allegiance to principles gives way to the pursuit of power, and where the aspirations of the American people are eclipsed by the imperatives of an unaccountable bureaucracy. In painting this stark tableau, Higgins challenges us to confront the unsettling reality that lurks beneath the surface of political discourse, a reality where the very foundations of governance stand imperiled by the encroaching shadows of the Deep State's agenda.

Within the intricate tapestry of the Deep State, Rich Higgins unveils a mosaic of alliances that defy conventional categorization, transcending ideological, political, and even national boundaries. In Higgins' narrative, the Deep State coalesces into a strange and formidable amalgamation, drawing together disparate elements ranging from the hard left to globalists, from bureaucrats to Islamist organizations, and from mega-corporations to shadowy Non-Governmental Organization (NGO) entities lurking in the periphery. This eclectic coalition, bound not by shared values but by a shared agenda of undermining President Trump and, by extension, the very fabric of America, represents a potent force in what Higgins aptly terms "a political warfare effort." Through his discerning lens, Higgins exposes the symbiotic relationships and mutual interests that sustain this unholy alliance, illuminating a landscape where ideological adversaries find common cause in their quest for power and influence. Yet, amidst the corridors of the Deep State, Higgins discerns not only a challenge but also an opportunity—an opportunity to confront the forces of tyranny and subversion, and to reaffirm the enduring values that define the American experiment. By peeling back the layers of deception and revealing the true nature of the Deep State's agenda, Higgins beckons us to confront the existential threat it poses and to rally behind the banner of liberty and freedom. In doing so, he reminds us that while the forces arrayed against us may be formidable, the spirit of freedom and resilience that animates the American soul is far greater, capable of overcoming even the most entrenched adversaries and emerging triumphant in the face of adversity.

Once this memo was brought to their attention, the Deep State removed Higgins from his role.

The saga of Rich Higgins' removal from his position serves as a stark testament to the pervasive influence and unchecked power wielded by the Deep State. In the corridors of Washington, D.C., where shadows dance and whispers echo, the Deep State operates with impunity, orchestrating a symphony of subversion and deceit aimed at undermining the very foundations of American governance. As federal officials, ensconced within the recesses of this shadow government, wield their influence with impunity, they render themselves immune to the mechanisms of accountability that form the bedrock of governance in America. This insidious web of power, woven from the threads of secrecy and manipulation, serves as a chilling reminder of the fragility of institutions in the face of entrenched interests and hidden agendas. Yet, even as the specter of the Deep State casts its ominous shadow over the political landscape, a glimmer of hope emerges in the form of an awakened alternative press, a beacon of truth amidst the fog of deception. Through their vigilant scrutiny and fearless reporting, these patriots shine a light into the darkest corners of power, exposing the machinations of the Deep State to the harsh scrutiny of public scrutiny. In doing so, they empower Americans to hold their leaders accountable, reaffirming the timeless principle that transparency and accountability are the cornerstones of a free and just nation.

In the ongoing discourse surrounding the Deep State, a stark contrast emerges between those who dismiss its existence and those who perceive it as a potent threat to the very fabric of America. For those who hold the Constitution as the sacred blueprint of the nation, the mere mention of the Deep State reverberates as a clarion call to vigilance and action. The rationale behind this apprehension lies in the recognition that the Deep State poses a formidable challenge to the foundational principles upon which America was founded. Indeed, evidence abounds to suggest that this clandestine entity harbors intentions diametrically opposed to the core tenets of traditional morality, limited government, and the inviolable rights bestowed upon individuals by God. Through its insidious machinations, the Deep State orchestrates a systematic erosion of personal freedoms, an expansion of governmental power at the expense of individual liberties, and a reckless proliferation of governmental spending that has precipitated a staggering national debt exceeding \$20 trillion. In confronting the menace of the Deep State, advocates of constitutional fidelity perceive not merely a political adversary, but a fundamental threat to the very soul of America, an existential threat that demands

unwavering resolve and steadfast dedication to the principles of liberty,  
freedom and justice.

----

Some months after being appointed to serve in the National Security Council by National Security Advisor Michael Flynn in early 2017, Higgins wrote a seven-page memo titled "POTUS and Political Warfare" which claimed that a cabal of deep state actors were conspiring against Trump, which included the mainstream media, academia, global corporatists and bankers, the Democratic Party leadership, the Republican Party leadership, and Islamists. Trump was reportedly "furious" after learning of Higgins' forced departure by Flynn's replacement, H.R. McMaster.

## POTUS AND POLITICAL WARFARE by Rich Higgins

BACKGROUND. The Trump administration is suffering under withering information campaigns designed to first undermine, then delegitimize and ultimately remove the President. Possibly confusing these attacks with an elevated interplay of otherwise normal D.C. partisan infighting and adversarial media relations, the White House response to these campaigns reflects a political advocacy mindset that it is intensely reactive, severely under-inclusive and dangerously inadequate to the threat. If action is not taken to re-scope and respond to these hostile campaigns very soon, the administration risks implosion and subsequent early departure from the White House.

This is not politics as usual but rather political warfare at an unprecedented level that is openly engaged in the direct targeting of a seated president through manipulation of the news cycle. It must be recognized on its own terms so that immediate action can be taken. At its core, these campaigns run on multiple lines of effort, serve as the non-violent line of effort of a wider movement, and execute political warfare agendas that reflect cultural Marxist outcomes. The campaigns operate through narratives.

Because the hard left is aligned with Islamist organizations at local (ANTIFA working with Muslim Brotherhood doing business as MSA and CAIR), national (ACLU and BLM working with CAIR and MPAC) and international levels (OIC working with OSCE and the UN), recognition must be given to the fact that they seamlessly interoperate at the narrative level as well. In candidate Trump, the opposition saw a threat to the "politically correct" enforcement narratives they've meticulously laid in over the past few decades. In

President Trump, they see a latent threat to continue that effort to ruinous effect and their retaliatory response reflects this fear.

## INTRODUCTION.

Responding to relentless personal assaults on his character, candidate Trump identified the players and the strategy: “The establishment and their media enablers will control over this nation through means that are very well known. Anyone who challenges their control is deemed a sexist, a racist, a xenophobe, and morally deformed.” – President Trump, Oct 2016.

Culturally conditioned to limit responses to such attacks as yet another round in the on-going drone from diversity and multicultural malcontents, these broadsides are discounted as political correctness run amuck. However, political correctness is a weapon against reason and critical thinking. This weapon functions as the enforcement mechanism of diversity narratives that seek to implement cultural Marxism. Candidate Trump’s rhetoric in the campaign not only cut through the Marxist narrative, he did so in ways that were viscerally comprehensible to a voting bloc that then made candidate Trump the president; making that bloc self-aware in the process. President Trump is either the candidate he ran as, or he is nothing.

Recognizing in candidate Trump an existential threat to cultural Marxist memes that dominate the prevailing cultural narrative, those that benefit recognize the threat he poses and seek his destruction. For this cabal, Trump must be destroyed. Far from politics as usual, this is a political warfare effort that seeks the destruction of a sitting president. Since Trump took office, the situation has intensified to crisis level proportions. For those engaged in the effort, especially those from within the “deep state” or permanent government apparatus, this raises clear Title 18 (legal) concerns.

## DISCUSSION.

The Opposition. While opposition to President Trump manifests itself through political warfare memes centered on cultural Marxist narratives, this hardly means that opposition is limited to Marxists as conventionally understood. Having become the dominant cultural meme, some benefit from it while others are captured by it; including “deep state” actors, globalists, bankers, Islamists, and establishment Republicans. Through the campaign, candidate Trump tapped into a deep vein of concern among many citizens that America is at risk and is slipping away. Globalists and Islamists recognize that for their visions to succeed, America, both as an ideal and as a



national and political identity, must be destroyed. Atomization of society must also occur at the individual level; with attacks directed against all levels of group and personal identity. Hence the sexism, racism and xenophobia memes. As a Judeo-Christian culture, forced inclusion of post-modern notions of tolerance is designed to induce nihilistic contradictions that reduce all thought, all faith, all loyalties to meaninglessness. Group rights based on sex or ethnicity are a direct assault on the very idea of individual human rights and natural law around which the Constitution was framed. "Transgender acceptance" memes attack at the most basic level by denying a person the right to declare the biological fact of one's sex. When a population has  $2 + 2 = 5$  imposed on it, there are many that benefit:

Mainstream Media – The principle mechanism for implementing narratives.

The Academy – Academia has served as a principle counter-state node for some time and remains a key conduit for creating future adherents to cultural Marxist narratives and their derivative worldview.

The Deep State – The successful outcome of cultural Marxism is a bureaucratic state beholden to no one, certainly not the American people. With no rule of law considerations outside those that further deep state power, the deep state truly becomes, as Hegel advocated, god bestriding the earth.

Global Corporatists & Bankers – Exploitation of populations, unfettered by national protections and notions of personal morality and piety.

Democratic Leadership – The democratic leadership has been a counter-state enabler that executes, sustains, and protects cultural Marxist programs of action and facilitates the relentless expansion of the deep state.

Republican Leadership – More afraid of being accused of being called a racist, sexist, homophobe or Islamophobe than of failing to enforce their oaths to "support and defend the Constitution," the Republican Establishment accepts and enforces cultural Marxist memes within its own sphere of operations. In doing so, knowingly or not, it becomes an agent of that. These "conservatives" become increasingly indistinguishable from their democratic counter-parts save that they misrepresent themselves to their constituents. Lacking the discernment to recognize their situation, they will work with globalists, corporatists, and the international financial interests and will likewise service the deep state. These establishment Republicans are the hard left's designated defeat mechanism in the destruction of the old regime as well as the American ideal. Because

candidate Trump publicly exposed them for their duplicitous activities, they are at risk as long as Trump can turn on them and are, therefore, bitter foes. Candidate Trump's success remains an ongoing existential threat to establishment Republicans.

Islamists – Islamists ally with cultural Marxist because, as far back as the 1980s, they properly assessed that the hard left has a strong chance of reducing Western civilization to its benefit. Having co-opted post-modern narratives as critical points, Islamists deploy these narrative to strategically blind and then control US decision makers. This is by design and purposeful. “By their own hands!” has been the declared strategy of the Muslim Brotherhood since 1991. This strategy seeks to divide American society against itself with the forced imposition of Islamist objectives on one half of American society by the other half. Once a society has been effectively atomized, the population will have lost its faith in the old order, detest those who reduced it, and divide along the lines of narrative adherence. This is the intended outcome of hostile information cum political warfare campaigns and today we see their effects on American society.

Complicating the current situation, many close to the president have pushed him off his message when he was candidate Trump thus alienating him from his base thereby isolating him in the process. When President Trump is not candidate Trump, he becomes dangerously exposed. While the base that elected candidate Trump identified with his vision, they are only Trump's insofar as he holds to the vision that made him president.

Political Warfare Attacks – A Primer. As used here, “political warfare” does not concern activities associated with the American political process but rather exclusively refers to political warfare as understood by the Maoist Insurgency model. Political warfare is one of the five components of a Maoist insurgency. Maoist methodologies employ synchronized violent and non-violent actions that focus on mobilization of individuals and groups to action. This approach envisions the direct use of non-violent operational arts and tactics as elements of combat power. In Maoist insurgencies, the formation of a counter-state is essential to seizing state power. Functioning as a hostile competing state acting within an existing state, it has an alternate infrastructure. Political warfare operates as one of the activities of the “counter-state” and is primarily focused on the resourcing and mobilization of the counter state or the exhaustion and demobilization of the targeted political movement. Political warfare methods can be implemented at strategic, operational, or tactical levels of operation.

Political warfare is warfare. Strategic information campaigns designed to delegitimize through disinformation arise out of non-violent lines of effort in political warfare regimes. They principally operate through narratives. Because the left is aligned with Islamist organizations at local, national and international levels, recognition should be given to the fact that they seamlessly interoperate through coordinated synchronized interactive narratives.

Cultural Marxism – A Primer. While the attacks on President Trump arise out of political warfare considerations based on non-kinetic lines of effort (as discussed below), they operate in a battle-space prepared, informed and conditioned by cultural Marxist drivers. In practical terms, the political warfare assault on President Trump cannot be separated from the cultural Marxist narratives that drive them. From an operational preparation of the environment perspective, President Trump is operating in a battle-space that reflects the left's vision.

As used in this discussion, cultural Marxism relates to programs and activities that arise out of Gramsci Marxism, Fabian Socialism and most directly from the Frankfurt School. The Frankfurt strategy deconstructs societies through attacks on culture by imposing a dialectic that forces unresolvable contradictions under the rubric of critical theory. The result is induced nihilism, a belief in every- thing that is actually the belief in nothing.

That post-modern (diversity/multiculturalism) narratives seeks to implement cultural Marxist objectives can be demonstrated by reference to founding Frankfurt School theorist Herbert Marcuse's repurposing of the term tolerance. In a 1965 paper, Marcuse defined tolerance as intolerance; said it can be implemented through undemocratic means to stop chauvinism (xenophobia), racism, discrimination; and should be extended to the left while denied to the right:

“The realization of the objective of tolerance would call for intolerance toward prevailing policies, attitudes, opinions, and the extension of tolerance to policies, attitudes, and opinions which are outlawed or suppressed.”

“Surely, no government can be expected to foster its own subversion, but in a democracy such a right is vested in the people (i.e. in the majority of the people). This means that the ways should not be blocked on which a subversive majority could develop, and if they are blocked by organized repression and indoctrination, their reopening may require apparently undemocratic means. They would include the withdrawal of toleration of

speech and assembly from groups and movements which promote aggressive policies, armament, chauvinism, discrimination on the grounds of race and religion, or which oppose the extension of public services, social security, medical care, etc.” (8-9)

“Liberating tolerance, then, would mean intolerance against movements from the Right and toleration of movements from the Left. As to the scope of this tolerance and intolerance: ... it would extend to the stage of action as well as of discussion and propaganda, of deed as well as of word.” (12)

It is through such post-modern constructs that interoperable narratives are established among various left-wing groups as well as between them and Islamist groups at all levels. For example, from the 2001 Conference of Foreign Ministers at Bamako, Mali, the Organization of Islamic Cooperation (OIC) declared its commitment to fight racism and xenophobia and then declared Islamophobia a “contemporary form of racism”: In this context, the World Conference urges all states . . . take all necessary measures to combat hatred, discrimination, intolerance and acts of violence, intimidation and coercion motivated by racism, racial discrimination, xenophobia and related intolerance particularly against Islam.

Racism, racial discrimination, xenophobia and related intolerance which display an increasing trend, in their most subtle and contemporary forms, constitute a violation of human rights. 3. Contemporary forms of racism are based on discrimination and disparagement on a cultural, rather than biological basis. In this content, the increasing trend of Islamophobia, as a distinct form of xenophobia in non-Muslim societies is very alarming.

That the OIC made these claims as part of its planned inputs to the United Nation’s “Third World Conference against Racism, Racial Discrimination, Xenophobia and Related Intolerance” further demonstrates the coordinated and interoperable nature of these narratives at international levels in international forums.

As cultural Marxist narratives intensify, they are to be further operationalized in the form of hate speech narratives. Hate speech narratives are non-random, coordinated, and fully interoperable escalations of cultural Marxist memes. Key international players include the European Union, the UN, and the OSCE, the OIC and the International Muslim Brotherhood. Hate speech memes are structured, coordinated, and implemented through these same international forums. They involve close coordination with media and social media and include the Countering Violent Extremism (CVE) narratives. David Shipler’s book Freedom of Speech provides a road

map for how hate speech narratives are to be structured, deployed and enforced.

Battlespace. These attack narratives are pervasive, full spectrum and institutionalized at all levels. They operate in social media, television, the 24-hour news cycle in all media, and are entrenched at the upper levels of the bureaucracies and within the foreign policy establishment. They inform the entertainment industry from late night monologues, to situation comedies, to television series memes, to movie themes. The effort required to direct this capacity at President Trump is little more than a programming decision to do so. The cultural Marxist narrative is fully deployed, pervasive, full spectrum and ongoing. Regarding the president, attacks have become a relentless 24/7 effort.

While there is certainly a Marxist agenda and even Islamist motivations that must be seriously addressed in their own right, these motivations alone seem inadequate to explain the scope and magnitude of the effort directed against the president. The economic drivers behind the Marxist and Islamist ideologues are enormously influential and seek to leverage these ideological movements for their own self interests. While beyond the actual scope of this document, the benefactors of these political movements include; Urban Real Estate who depend greatly on immigrant tenants, International Banking who seeks to maintain US debtor status so as to control the application of American power, and elements of the business sector that depend upon immigrant labor or government infrastructure. The overall objective of these economic forces is the forced urbanization of the populace, thereby necessitating a larger, more powerful government. In summary, this is a form of population control by certain business cartels in league with cultural Marxists/corporatists/Islamists who will leverage Islamic terrorism threats to justify the creation of a police state.

Adversary Campaign Plan. Political Warfare has been described as “propaganda in battledress.” The effort directed at President Trump is executed along one overt, as well as two covert, lines of effort:

The overt line of effort is PUBLICITY. Publicity is the straightforward projection of a case that builds a picture in the audience’s mind designed to garner support. It is facts without context and information the adversary wants the audience to possess that creates an impression and sets conditions. It seeks to establish good will and receptiveness to additional inputs.

There are two covert lines of effort: PROPOGANDA and INFILTRATION/SUBVERSION.

Propaganda is the deliberate direction, even manipulation, of information to secure a definite outcome. It is an attempt to direct the thinking of the recipient, without his conscious collaboration, into predetermined channels that are established in the Publicity line of effort. It is the unwitting conditioning of the recipient by devious methods with an ulterior motive that seeks to move them incrementally over time into greater belief and acceptance of message transmitted in the Publicity line of effort.

Infiltration and subversion operate internal to the targeted organization in order to inform, target, coordinate, and amplify the effects of the publicity and propaganda. Both operate to gather intelligence, obstruct legitimate courses of action, provide inside information, and leak sensitive information that undermines the leadership and suppresses the morale of friendly elements.

Infiltration of political and social groups within a target state is done for the purpose of extending counter-state influence and control. The endgame is concealed and may involve illicit activities.

Subversion undermines or detaches the loyalties of significant political and social groups within the target state and transfers political and/or ideological loyalties to the counter-state. As the counter-state forms, a counter-elite of influential individual and key leaders within the target state will later facilitate the legitimacy and permanency of the new regime.

Political warfare employs both publicity and propaganda. It recognizes no intrinsic virtue in the news but rather envisions it as a mechanism to exploit and build up support. From a political warfare perspective, control of the news cycle is the most potent means of attracting and building up a favorable audience. As it relates to the news cycle, publicity and propaganda can be merged to form a "pseudo-publicity" that is presented as news in furtherance of sustaining pseudo-realities maintained by cultural Marxist memes. Pseudo-publicity treatment of President Trump dominates the news cycle. The current campaign against President Trump operates in the following manner:

The Meta Narrative. Meta narratives seeks to delegitimize President Trump, his administration, and the vision of America he projected as a candidate. With cultural Marxist memes serving as the backdrop, President Trump is to be relentlessly characterized as unfit through the use of supporting narratives acting to move unwitting populations to belief in the meta narrative. Hence:

“President Trump is illegitimate”

“President Trump is corrupt”

“President Trump is dishonest”

Note that the twitter accounts and mainstream media personalities pushing this narrative have seen their audience numbers rise greatly in the past 6 months. This is a direct result of the supporting and backdrop narratives channeling individuals to this meta- narrative.

Supporting Narratives. Meta-narratives are supported by an ongoing series supporting-narratives that can be swapped out as circumstances warrant. It is important to recognize that these stories do not have to be true, valid or accurate to serve their purpose. Over time, deserved or not, the cumulative effect of these supporting narratives will result in a Trump fatigue. From a political warfare perspective, President Trump’s inability to meet this challenge will cast him as a weak failed leader. The current list of supporting narratives include:

“Russia hacked the election” – illegitimate

“Obstruction of Justice” – corrupt

“Hiding Collusion” – dishonest

“Putin Puppet” – treasonous

Backdrop Narratives. The backdrop to the meta and supporting narratives are cultural Marxist memes designed to sustain a general sense of loathing of President Trump and the America that elected him. Hence: “[meta] President Trump is illegitimate, [supporting] he was elected because of Russian hacking, [backdrop] and besides, he a racist, sexist xenophobe.”

Adversaries utilize these interlocking narratives as a defensive political and information warfare screen that silences critics and smears supporters of President Trump. When people in the media question the behavior, actions and decisions of the Trump Administration’s opponents, they are immediately said to be “working for the Russians” or “supporting Russian propaganda.” Individual Americans who support the President are deemed “deplorable” and “racist.”

End State. Attacks on President Trump are not just about destroying him, but also about destroying the vision of America that lead to his election.

Those individuals and groups seeking the destruction of President Trump actually seek to suffocate the vision of America that made him president. Hence, the end state is not just a delegitimized, destabilized, immobilized and possibly destroyed presidency; but also a demoralized movement composed of a large enough bloc to elect a president that subsequently become self-aware of its own disenfranchisement.

## CONCLUSION.

The recent turn of events give rise to the observation that the defense of President Trump is the defense of America. In the same way President Lincoln was surrounded by political opposition both inside and outside of his wire, in both overt and covert forms, so too is President Trump. Had Lincoln failed, so too would have the Republic. The administration has been maneuvered into a constant back- pedal by relentless political warfare attacks structured to force him to assume a reactive posture that assures inadequate responses. The president can either drive or be driven by events; it's time for him to drive them.