

LETTERS TO AMERICA #84

May 12, 2024 15:45:45 EST

Q !!120613817 ID: 177645 No. [6012-5832-5334-051224](#) 

#84 Unveiling the Shadow Network: The Council on Foreign Relations and the Hidden Influence of the Shadow Network in Global Governance and International Affairs

At this pivotal moment in time, America finds itself entrenched within a crisis of unprecedented magnitude, one surpassing the gravity of even the tumultuous period of 1776. This isn't merely a crisis; it's a confluence of conflicts. At its core lies an exhaustive information war, but paramount to that, a spiritual battle for the essence of America and the very souls of its people, reminiscent of biblical proportions. The annals of the last fifteen decades bear witness to an insidious proliferation of corruption, tyranny, and moral decay within the fabric of America. The magnitude of malevolence, treachery, sedition, and blatant disregard for the sanctity of human rights, freedom, and liberty, orchestrated by a cabal of global elites driven by insatiable greed and thirst for power, is nothing short of abhorrent. We find ourselves navigating through a juncture in time where the imperative for every American, every patriot, to rise in defiance, to safeguard our liberties and resist the encroaching tyranny lest they slip through our fingers forever. Letters To America serves as a beacon of truth amidst the pervasive fog of deception, illuminating the shadows of deceit that have enveloped our government for generations, empowering you to discern the truth amidst the pervasive darkness of corruption and manipulation, offering insights into the entrenched evils and pervasive corruption that have ensnared our government and compromised the very essence of America, all for the pursuit of personal gain.

Letters to America is a very detailed collection of intel and information based on the truth that the American people need to know about that has been hidden in the shadows and suppressed for far too long. Letters to America is not just a compilation of facts and data; it embodies a profound commitment to unveiling the concealed realities that the American public deserves to be aware of, truths obscured in the obscure corners and

silenced by the mainstream media [FAKE NEWS] outlets. It is a repository of untold stories and hidden narratives and agendas, shunned and suppressed by the behemoth of big tech platforms, including the likes of Facebook. The driving force behind Letters to America is singular and unwavering: the dissemination of unfiltered, unvarnished truth to the people of this great nation. Its mission is to empower individuals to awaken to the veracity that surrounds them, to be informed people, capable of making choices and decisions rooted in the bedrock of truth rather than the quicksand of misinformation, lies and deceit. With depth, integrity, character, and purpose, Letters to America aspires to be the torchbearer of honesty in an era where the clarity of truth is often overshadowed by obscurity.

In today's Letter to America, like always, we embark on a profound journey into the depths of our collective consciousness, where uncomfortable truths reside that are waiting to be acknowledged and confronted. As we navigate the tumultuous waters of our world, it becomes clearly evident that our awareness, or lack thereof, profoundly shapes our understanding of the narratives that unfold before us. The revelations that are chronicled within this letter unveil hidden truths that will challenge preconceived notions, test the boundaries of our beliefs, and ultimately, illuminate the path towards a more enlightened existence. It is in our capacity and our willingness to explore these unsettling truths, to engage with them authentically, and to foster a deeper sense of integrity that will pave the way for a nation that transcends division and seeks the profound unity that binds us all as Americans.

Your level of awakening and consciousness serves as a lens through which the intricate layers of meaning within today's letter unfold, revealing the profound wisdom and insight chronicled within its words. As you delve deeper into the text, your heightened awareness allows you to grasp the subtle nuances and hidden truths hidden between the lines, enriching your understanding of the message and the world around you.

-

By acknowledging the existence of an international Anglophile network, Professor Quigley unveiled a profound layer of global governance and influence, subtly woven through the fabric of international relations. His assertion in the book points to a formidable network that transcends national boundaries, involving key institutions such as the Council on Foreign Relations in the United States and the Royal Institute of International Affairs in Great Britain. These organizations, according to Quigley, operate beyond the simple scope of policy advisory bodies; they

are part of a sophisticated and extensive web of influence that shapes global policy and public opinion in ways that are often invisible to the general public and unsanctioned by any electorate. This exposure raises questions about the nature of liberty and sovereignty in a world where transnational networks can maneuver significant power without direct accountability.

Delving deeper, the entities cited by Quigley, specifically the Council on Foreign Relations and the Royal Institute of International Affairs, represent nodes of a much larger network of the Deep State. This network, fortified by historical ties, shared ideologies, and mutual interests in maintaining a certain global order, has the capability to impact international economics, politics, and security policies. The term "Anglophile network" suggests a particular affinity towards British cultural and political ideals, which historically have championed a form of globalism that supports economic liberalism and international cooperation. By participating in this network, these groups influence global norms and practices subtly yet persistently, crafting a world order that reflects their values and priorities, often under the guise of promoting international stability and prosperity.

Professor Quigley's revelations invite a critical examination of how such networks shape global affairs and the extent to which they align with the public interest. They provoke a discourse on transparency and the governing process, highlighting the tension between national policies and international strategies orchestrated by a coterie of influential groups. This discourse is crucial for understanding the dynamics of power in the 21st century, where global challenges such as climate change, economic inequality, and geopolitical tensions demand coordinated international responses. The integrity and intentions of these influential networks, therefore, are of paramount importance, as their decisions and influence directly impact global governance and the future direction of international relations.

Founded in 1921, the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) emerged in the wake of the U.S. Senate's rejection of the League of Nations, an act that symbolized America's post-World War I isolationist impulses. Created by a coalition of global ideologists and influential bankers, the CFR's inception was driven by a vision to more deeply integrate the United States into international affairs, ensuring that America would be a central player in global diplomacy and policy-making. The CFR has since grown to become one of the most formidable organizations within the Deep State, a body within the government that operates independently of elected officials.

With members like Bill Clinton and Chelsea Clinton, the organization wields significant influence, as underscored by Hillary Clinton's 2009 acknowledgment of the CFR's role in shaping policy directions and strategic thinking. Her statement highlights the CFR's influence in dictating not only current policy approaches but also prospective planning, reinforcing its role as a pivotal architect of U.S. foreign policy. This positions the CFR uniquely at the confluence of government, finance, and global strategy, shaping how America engages with the world while raising questions about transparency and oversight in the formulation of foreign policy.

From this understanding of the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) and its profound influence on American foreign policy, it becomes evident that there exists a dual structure within the U.S. governance system—where an invisible establishment, the Deep State, ideates and crafts governmental policies, and the visible, elected government is left with the task of implementing these strategies. This hidden power dynamic reveals that the true levers of policy-making are controlled by a cadre of non-elected individuals and groups that transcend the usual partisan divides. Intriguingly, there is recognition of a CFR wing within the Republican Party, indicating that its influence is not confined to any single political ideology but is pervasive across the entire political spectrum. This affiliation, however, is seldom publicly acknowledged by establishment Republicans, who are cognizant of the potential backlash from voters who might view such connections as undermining our American principles and autonomy. The discreet nature of this relationship highlights a critical tension in American politics: the balancing act between securing electoral support and navigating the complex web of non-elected, influential networks that shape national policy. This dynamic raises fundamental questions about transparency, accountability, and the governing process, challenging the electorate to consider how deeply intertwined these elite organizations are with the mechanisms of government they elect to serve their interests.

The Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) boasts a membership that spans a wide swath of American society, encompassing key figures from both major political parties, influential bureaucrats, media personalities, and leaders from the upper echelons of the corporate world, including chairmen and CEOs of prominent banks and businesses. Its reach also extends to the judiciary, with members among the Supreme Court justices, and deep into the administrative backbone of the country through various Cabinet secretaries.

Beyond the governmental and corporate spheres, the CFR's influence permeates into the social fabric of the United States, including pastors of large mega-churches, presidents and vice-presidents of leading foundations, and esteemed leaders within top-ranking universities nationwide. This broad

representation illustrates the CFR's formidable capacity to influence and steer national discourse and policy across multiple platforms and sectors. Regrettably, this extensive network includes not only traditional bastions of establishment power but also individuals identified with more conservative or neoconservative ideologies, suggesting a complex, sometimes contradictory alignment of interests within the CFR. These connections reveal a nuanced and pervasive layer of influence of the Deep State behind the Deep State, where the lines between ideological allegiances blur against the backdrop of shared strategic goals. This multifaceted membership underscores a profound challenge to transparency and accountability, as it highlights how deeply embedded the CFR is within the power structures and decision-making processes that shape the American landscape.

The Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) encompasses a vast and influential network within the corporate world, connecting the dots between leaders in critical industries that drive both the national and global economies. This network includes high-ranking executives from the pharmaceutical sector, oil and gas conglomerates, global mega-banks, major publishing houses, the broader media landscape, and military-industrial corporations. Among its members are pillars of industry such as Bank of America, Citigroup, Credit Suisse, and Deutsche Bank, as well as financial entities like Soros Fund Management and the Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce. The CFR's military-industrial connections feature prominently with firms like DynCorp, Booz Allen Hamilton Inc., Raytheon Company, and Boeing, which are central to defense procurement and strategy. In the realm of energy, giants such as ExxonMobil, Shell, Chevron, and BP reflect the organization's reach into the sectors that shape global energy policies and environmental practices. The media representation within CFR is equally robust, including influential outlets such as Fox News, the Wall Street Journal, New York Post, and Time Warner, alongside prestigious publications like The Economist and Bloomberg. This extensive assemblage of high-caliber corporations and media entities within the CFR not only underscores the breadth of its influence but also highlights the intricate web of connections that facilitate a unique convergence of economic power, policy-making, and public information dissemination. This confluence of interests among CFR members points to a significant shaping of public discourse and policy in areas critical to both national security and global economic stability, raising important questions about the balance of power between public interests and private influence.

The Council on Foreign Relations (CFR), despite its relatively small membership of fewer than 5,000 individuals, wields disproportionate

influence through a core set of leaders who occupy pivotal positions across various sectors. Before Admiral Chester Ward distanced himself from the organization and exposed its inner workings, he had been an integral part of the CFR for nearly two decades. His insights, particularly highlighted in his book *Kissinger on the Couch*, reveal a critical perspective on the organization's dynamics. According to Ward, the CFR's most potent faction is composed of Wall Street international bankers and their principal agents, who together form a formidable group within the council. He argued that these members are not merely passive participants but are actively engaged in steering global financial policies with the ultimate aim of establishing a global banking monopoly. This ambition, as Ward outlined, is not limited to economic dominance but extends to a broader strategy of redistributing power on a global scale, thereby influencing international relations and policy decisions. Such assertions cast the CFR not just as a think tank or a forum for dialogue, but as a significant player in the strategic manipulation of global finance and power structures, operating under the guise of fostering greater cooperation and understanding between various countries and cultures. Ward's whistleblowing thus serves as a critical lens through which to examine the CFR's deeper objectives and the substantial impact it has on global governance and economic trends.

Although the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) includes members with diverse perspectives and backgrounds, Admiral Chester Ward's observations suggest that a significant majority share a common globalist viewpoint, aligning closely with the Deep State agenda. In his critical analysis, particularly expressed in his book, Ward delves into the foundational ideology and objectives of the CFR, highlighting the inherent dangers posed by its influence over the U.S. government. He argues that the CFR's agenda extends beyond mere policy advisement and into the realm of actual governance, where it exerts a profound impact on both domestic and international policy through its network of powerful individuals and organizations. This influence, according to Ward, risks undermining national sovereignty and governmental processes by centralizing power and decision-making in the hands of a few who are not directly accountable to the public. His critique raises crucial concerns about the transparency and legitimacy of such influence, suggesting that the CFR's role in shaping governmental policies could potentially distort public agendas to favor a narrow set of interests. Ward's insights challenge us to scrutinize the implications of such concentrated power, questioning the balance between global cooperation and the preservation of our governing principles within the framework of national policy-making.

Admiral Chester Ward's critique of the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) paints a picture of an organization with ambitions that extend far beyond the advisory role of think tanks. He warns that the CFR's ultimate objective is the establishment of a global government, an aim that would significantly diminish the sovereignty and international standing of the United States. This goal stands in stark contrast to nationalist policies like those advocated by President Donald Trump, whose "America First" campaign emphasized strengthening U.S. borders, renegotiating international trade deals, and prioritizing domestic over global interests. According to Ward, the CFR's vision involves creating a centralized global authority that would override national governments, which he believes would erode accountability and national identity in America. Such a globalist approach could lead to a homogenization of policies that fails to recognize the unique cultural, economic, and political contexts of individual nations. Ward's concerns resonate with a broader debate about globalism versus nationalism, highlighting a significant ideological clash that affects not only policy decisions but also the fundamental direction of national governance. His warning invites a deeper examination of how such global initiatives conflict with or compromise national priorities and the will of the people, urging policymakers and all Americans alike to critically assess the long-term implications of diminishing national power in favor of a global governance structure.

Foreign Affairs, a magazine closely associated with the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR), plays a pivotal role in the organization's public outreach and influence operations. It is the de facto propaganda arm of the CFR, a platform through which the council disseminates its views and shapes public discourse on international relations. The content of the magazine is strategically crafted to promote narratives that align with the CFR's broader objectives, particularly its alleged goal of establishing a global government. Critics like Admiral Chester Ward argue that the articles within Foreign Affairs subtly weave themes of deception and subversion, essential tools, according to Ward, by which the CFR intends to dismantle traditional national sovereignties in favor of a centralized global authority. This approach to journalism raises significant ethical and practical concerns about the manipulation of public opinion and policy. By presenting complex geopolitical issues through a particular lens that supports globalist agendas, Foreign Affairs not only influences elite decision-makers but also cultivates a broader public acceptance of internationalist policies. Such influence is potent and dangerous as its aim is to quietly recalibrate the principles of national independence and decision-making in favor of opaque, supranational governance structures.

Qx

Listen: <https://americanpatriotsocial.com/Qx/LTA/audio/LTA-84.mp3>