

# LETTERS TO AMERICA #98

May 29, 2024 15:32:45 EST

Q !!120613817 ID: 177645 No. [7356-6870-7800-052924](https://www.fusiongps.com/7356-6870-7800-052924) 

**#98 Unveiling The Deep State: The Discredited Russia Dossier - Mainstream Media and The Deep State Partner to Promote “Fake News” from Fusion GPS as the Truth**

At this pivotal moment in time, America finds itself entrenched within a crisis of unprecedented magnitude, one surpassing the gravity of even the tumultuous period of 1776. This isn't merely a crisis; it's a confluence of conflicts. At its core lies an exhaustive information war, but paramount to that, a spiritual battle for the essence of America and the very souls of its people, reminiscent of biblical proportions. The annals of the last fifteen decades bear witness to an insidious proliferation of corruption, tyranny, and moral decay within the fabric of America. The magnitude of malevolence, treachery, sedition, and blatant disregard for the sanctity of human rights, freedom, and liberty, orchestrated by a cabal of global elites driven by insatiable greed and thirst for power, is nothing short of abhorrent. We find ourselves navigating through a juncture in time where the imperative for every American, every patriot, to rise in defiance, to safeguard our liberties and resist the encroaching tyranny lest they slip through our fingers forever. Letters To America serves as a beacon of truth amidst the pervasive fog of deception, illuminating the shadows of deceit that have enveloped our government for generations, empowering you to discern the truth amidst the pervasive darkness of corruption and manipulation, offering insights into the entrenched evils and pervasive corruption that have ensnared our government and compromised the very essence of America, all for the pursuit of personal gain.

Letters to America is a very detailed collection of intel and information based on the truth that the American people need to know about that has been hidden in the shadows and suppressed for far too long. Letters to America is not just a compilation of facts and data; it embodies a profound commitment to unveiling the concealed realities that the American public deserves to be aware of, truths obscured in the obscure corners and silenced by the mainstream media [FAKE NEWS] outlets. It is a repository of

untold stories and hidden narratives and agendas, shunned and suppressed by the behemoth of big tech platforms, including the likes of Facebook. The driving force behind Letters to America is singular and unwavering: the dissemination of unfiltered, unvarnished truth to the people of this great nation. Its mission is to empower individuals to awaken to the veracity that surrounds them, to be informed people, capable of making choices and decisions rooted in the bedrock of truth rather than the quicksand of misinformation, lies and deceit. With depth, integrity, character, and purpose, Letters to America aspires to be the torchbearer of honesty in an era where the clarity of truth is often overshadowed by obscurity.

In today's Letter to America, like always, we embark on a profound journey into the depths of our collective consciousness, where uncomfortable truths reside that are waiting to be acknowledged and confronted. As we navigate the tumultuous waters of our world, it becomes clearly evident that our awareness, or lack thereof, profoundly shapes our understanding of the narratives that unfold before us. The revelations that are chronicled within this letter unveil hidden truths that will challenge preconceived notions, test the boundaries of our beliefs, and ultimately, illuminate the path towards a more enlightened existence. It is in our capacity and our willingness to explore these unsettling truths, to engage with them authentically, and to foster a deeper sense of integrity that will pave the way for a nation that transcends division and seeks the profound unity that binds us all as Americans.

Your level of awakening and consciousness serves as a lens through which the intricate layers of meaning within today's letter unfold, revealing the profound wisdom and insight chronicled within its words. As you delve deeper into the text, your heightened awareness allows you to grasp the subtle nuances and hidden truths hidden between the lines, enriching your understanding of the message and the world around you.

-

BuzzFeed controversially released the notorious "Golden Shower" dossier compiled by former British intelligence officer Christopher Steele. This document, initially circulated anonymously, contained explosive allegations detailing Donald Trump's purported involvement in sexual misconduct with Russian prostitutes and suggested that the Kremlin could be leveraging this information for blackmail purposes. Moreover, it implied a concerning nexus between the Trump administration and Russian intelligence agencies. Trump vehemently denied the claims, taking to Twitter to dismiss the dossier as a "pile of garbage" and drawing parallels to Nazi propaganda tactics, thus

igniting a firestorm of debate and scrutiny over the veracity of the allegations and the ethics of their publication.

Media outlets extensively covered Christopher Steele, the former MI6 agent responsible for compiling the controversial dossier, portraying him as an experienced and respected intelligence veteran with deep ties to Russian surveillance operations. Steele's background added weight to the dossier's credibility in the eyes of many observers. Concurrently, another narrative emerged, centering on Senator John McCain's involvement in disseminating the dossier's contents. Reports surfaced indicating that McCain obtained the dossier and subsequently passed it to then-FBI Director James Comey. The senator's decision to hand over the dossier gained attention when it was revealed that he had received it from a British senior diplomat who not only knew Steele personally but also vouched for his reliability. This chain of events highlighted the intricate web of connections between intelligence circles, political figures, and international diplomacy, further fueling speculation and debate surrounding the dossier's significance and potential impact on U.S. politics.

As of October 2017, amidst a charged political atmosphere, the Deep State and the Democratic-leaning media were amplifying the significance of the infamous "Russia dossier," which they claim had been financed by Fusion GPS. They portrayed this dossier as an indisputable account of truth, bolstering a January 2017 intelligence report's findings. According to these narratives, the FBI, CIA, and NSA purportedly held a unanimous conviction that Russian President Vladimir Putin orchestrated efforts to sway the outcome of the 2016 presidential election. The dossier and subsequent reports suggested that Russia's primary objectives were to sow discord within the American political landscape, specifically targeting Hillary Clinton's campaign, while simultaneously bolstering the prospects of then-President-elect Donald Trump. The alignment of these claims with the prevailing political narratives of the time fueled intense speculation, triggering debates over the extent of foreign interference in U.S. elections and the potential implications for America.

The saga surrounding the "Russia dossier" reached a critical juncture in October 2017 when The Guardian, based in London, published a story that underscored the prolonged and intensive scrutiny the dossier had endured. This revelation gained further traction when The Washington Post followed suit, supplementing the dossier's exposure with a letter from Perkins Coie, a law firm associated with the Democratic Party, acknowledging Hillary Clinton's involvement in financing the dossier's creation. However, the full extent of the financial backing provided by the Clinton campaign and

the Democratic National Committee (DNC) remained obscured. Even a year later, there was no explicit acknowledgment from either the Clinton campaign or the DNC regarding their potential expenditure of up to \$9 million to fund Fusion GPS's efforts in producing and disseminating the discredited dossier, which alleged collusion and sexual improprieties. These revelations sparked widespread concern, with figures such as White House Press Secretary Sarah Sanders and Senate Judiciary Committee Chairman Chuck Grassley raising questions about the FBI's independence from political influence. The lack of transparency surrounding the funding and dissemination of the dossier fueled speculation about the intertwining of political agendas and law enforcement activities, casting doubts on the integrity of the investigative process and the impartiality of key institutions.

Following the discrediting of the "Russia dossier," the overarching narrative of Russian collusion and claims of a "rigged" election came under intensified scrutiny, ultimately being cast into doubt. With the dossier's credibility shattered, questions emerged regarding the validity of Hillary Clinton's allegations implicating Donald Trump in collusion with Russia, particularly in relation to the hacking and subsequent release of DNC emails. While WikiLeaks' role in tarnishing Clinton's reputation with the dissemination of these emails was evident, the extent of Russian influence in aiding Trump's victory remained a subject of debate. Beyond the email leaks, potential avenues through which Russia could have influenced the outcome of the election included the dissemination of misinformation and propaganda on social media platforms, targeting key swing states to sway public opinion, and possibly even infiltrating voting systems. Additionally, Russia's efforts might have focused on amplifying divisions within American society, exploiting existing political and social tensions to undermine trust in democratic institutions and sow chaos. As such, the fallout from the debunked "Russia dossier" prompted a reassessment of the broader allegations of collusion and electoral interference, highlighting the complexity of the issue and the need for thorough investigation and transparency in safeguarding the integrity of our governing processes.

Amidst the myriad controversies surrounding the 2016 presidential election, one persistent claim stood out: the allegation that a relatively modest sum of approximately \$100,000 spent on Facebook ads targeting key battleground states like Michigan and Wisconsin was somehow linked to Russian interference in favor of Donald Trump. These states played pivotal roles in securing Trump's victory, and the notion that Russian-backed ads could have influenced voters in these crucial areas gained significant attention. However, this assertion was overshadowed by the staggering scale of Hillary

Clinton's campaign expenditures, which exceeded \$1 billion, dwarfing the comparatively minor investment attributed to so-called Russian-linked ads.

As the dust settled and post-election analyses unfolded, even staunch supporters of Clinton found themselves grappling with the uncomfortable truth that her defeat might not have been solely attributable to external factors like Russian meddling. Instead, there was a growing recognition that Clinton's loss stemmed from a combination of factors, including strategic missteps, a failure to resonate with key demographics, and a broader sentiment among voters—particularly in critical swing states—that Clinton did not represent the change they desired. While exceptions persisted in traditionally Democratic strongholds like New York and California, the prevailing narrative gradually shifted towards acknowledging that the outcome reflected the will of the American electorate rather than external interference.

---

## **Why Was The Steele Dossier Not Dismissed As A Fake?**

A cursory examination of the Steele Dossier should have convinced the CIA or the FBI that it was fake news. Any residual doubt would have vanished after learning that its author, Christopher Steele, was an opposition researcher paid by the Democrats to dig up dirt on Trump. That our most sophisticated government officials acted as if the Dossier were legitimate leads to only one conclusion. They were a knowing and willing part of the Democratic and media smear of a presidential contender, and then president, that paralyzed U.S. politics for three years.

We now know that the Steele Dossier is bogus. Inspector General Michael Horowitz drove the final stake through its heart. He found that the Dossier was compiled from hearsay and third-hand gossip from two low-level sources and that they denied the testimony attributed to them. The only “verified” information that Horowitz found was available from public sources.

Let's review the story of the Steele Dossier and ask whether clear-thinking unbiased persons in media or government would have taken the charges in the Dossier so seriously as to use it as the roadmap to Russian government officials' purported alliance with Trump employees and campaign aides to help his election.

The widespread use of the term “roadmap” is telling. It suggests, yes, there must be something to the charge that Trump colluded with an enemy power. We'll find proof if we follow the clues that Steele has given us.

On January 11, 2017, BuzzFeed, a frequently contentious media platform, published a confidential report, titled "Republican candidate Donald Trump's Activities in Russia and Compromising Relationship with the Kremlin." Purportedly gathered by former MI-6 agent, Christopher Steele, from high-level informants from within Vladimir Putin's (and Trump's) inner circles, Steele claimed, in effect, that Trump, was an agent of Putin's Kremlin. The Dossier gave never-Trumpers the tantalizing excuse that Trump's collusion with the Kremlin caused the unlikely defeat of Hillary Clinton.

If true, Steele would have uncovered the most sensational scandal in American history - an American Manchurian candidate about to assume the reins of executive power.

Two days after BuzzFeed an article was titled, The Trump Dossier Is Fake -- And Here Are The Reasons Why. The article attracted an audience of 185,000. It followed with a series of articles that challenged Steele's Dossier. You can read the article here:

<https://community.americanpatriotsforum.com/wall/post/the-trump-dossier-is-fake----and-here-are-the-reasons-why-k1JqemjUiQx4IRE>

Unlike media, the FBI, and intelligence services, there were no special sources or leakers. Instead, we had to rely on common sense, made muscular by more than a half-century of following the Kremlin and, most recently, Putin's hybrid war against Ukraine.

Instead of chasing after the Dossier's few verifiable facts, we used simple smell tests: Do the events described in the Dossier make any sense given what we know about how Putin and the Kremlin operate?

In intelligence circles, the credibility of sources is the first question asked. Are they who they say they are? Can we trust what they are telling us? Do the sources have a hidden agenda? On all counts, Steele's sources fail.

Steele identifies his sources as "trusted compatriots," "knowledgeable sources," "former intelligence officers," "ministry of foreign affairs officials," and an "ethnic Russian" in Trump's immediate circle. One of his sources even works within earshot of Putin. This is an impressive list for a retired MI6 agent, turned opposition researcher, who had not actively worked in Russia for a quarter century.

The dossier thus purports to be a breathtaking peek into the highest echelons of Putin's Kremlin. Dossier informants claim first-hand knowledge

of important events, among them that Putin personally ordered the DNC hack, that Putin and his press secretary control a Hillary Clinton dossier (compiled not from hacks but from her past visits) and that the head of Russia's national oil company offered a minor Trump associate a gargantuan bribe in return for a cancellation of sanctions. Trump's "ethnic Russian" colleague testifies to organizing sexual escapades for Trump in an elite Moscow hotel.

In a recent article from April 2019, respected liberal Russian commentator, Yulia Latynina, summarizes Steele's "source problem" for those who do not know how Putin's Russia works:

"Christopher Steele, the humble head of a small consulting company Orbis with a dozen employees, including cleaners, has 'sources' everywhere: in the Kremlin...moreover, at the very top...After all, 'sources' of this kind in Russia... have their own palaces, yachts, private jets. It is not entirely clear why these corrupt billionaires...should reveal top-secrets to a consultant who had not visited Russia for 13 years?"

There are three possible explanations for Steele's fly-on-the-Kremlin-wall claims, and none bode well for Steele and the "road-mappers." Either the Dossier is a work of fiction, or pure gossip and hearsay from low-level informants, or it is Russian disinformation (desinformatsii), somehow planted with Steele by Kremlin "information technologists."

Steele's outrageous claim of the highest-level Kremlin sources should have been enough to toss its contents into the nearest trashcan while revving up an investigation of Russian disinformation, circulated by hired consultants of the DNC and Clinton campaign. (Note: It was not until late October 2017 that we learned that Steele's opposition research was funded by the DNC and Clinton campaign.).

Instead of the trashcan, the media and intelligence services pursued the Dossier "roadmap" with diligence and selective leaks, although in April of 2017, Steele himself confirmed under oath that his claims are unverified. Of course they are, because who can verify the most intimate workings of rarified circles of Putin's immediate entourage? This inconvenient fact, however, did not prevent Steele from trying to peddle his Dossier to the media, the state department, and sundry Trump opponents.

The Steele Dossier fails a second key smell test with its claim that Igor Sechin, Putin's right-hand man and CEO of Rosneft (Russia's national oil company), offered a low-level Trump campaign advisor, Carter Page, a whacky deal that defies belief and credulity.

Here is how Steele describes the secret Moscow meeting in July of 2016 between Sechin and Page, identified as part of the US Republican presidential candidate's foreign policy team:

“Sechin’s associate said that the Rosneft President was so keen to lift personal and corporate Western sanctions ... that he offered PAGE associates the brokerage of up to a 19 per cent (privatized) stake in Rosneft in return PAGE had expressed interest and confirmed that were TRUMP elected US president, then sanctions on Russia would be lifted.”

Would master-plotter and Kremlin in-fighter, Sechin, really offer minor-leaguer Page an approximately \$750 million brokerage commission, all in return for a promise to lift sanctions if—and what a big “if”—Trump were elected? (Note: The betting odds of a Trump win were 12 to one at the time.)

Such a deal requires two fools: Sechin and Trump, the clandestine recipient of almost a billion-dollar bribe from the Kremlin and hence making himself a ready subject of blackmail.

This remarkable secret-of-secrets was purportedly revealed to Steele by a “trusted compatriot,” a senior member of Sechin’s staff, and disclosed by Sechin himself. To believe Steele on this matter would require three sets of loose lips in Rosneft’s fortress offices.

#### **Let’s move on to the golden shower:**

Russian kompromat offers up sexual perversion as a standard feature. The perversion of choice seems to be pedophilia. Steele’s tale of Trump cavorting with prostitutes in a Moscow luxury hotel fits the Russian template, but Steele’s piece de resistance is an exotic “golden shower” of prostitutes hired to defile a hotel suite occupied earlier by the Obamas.

Such a story, other than being expected, requires a reckless Trump blissfully unaware of cameras mounted to catch every angle of the sexual perversions going on. I guess Steele needed to bolster this scene so he claims all kinds of witnesses (who conveniently can’t be found) in the know of what would have been an intelligence operation for the ages – the blackmail of a future U.S. President. Further, it does not help that the ethnic Russian, who supposedly organized the “Golden shower,” denies any knowledge of the cavorting Trump.

A final reason for tossing the Steele Dossier is its outright mistakes, that those seeking to validate it seem to ignore. That a Petersburg military intelligence organization (of the GRU) hacked the DNC and Clinton



campaign seems to be one of the few facts that is generally accepted, despite Trump's own remaining skepticism. Why then does the Steele Dossier say the hacking was done by Russian operatives in the U.S. being paid illicitly out of Russian social security funds?

Even more ludicrous is the painting of Carter Page, a Caspar Milquetoast, as the puppet master of the decade-long collusion between the Kremlin and Trump. I wonder in which London bar that story was dreamed up?

The Steele "road map" seems to be leading investigators in the wrong direction.

Today's letter seeks to answer why such obvious fake news was introduced by our own intelligence services (including the former head of the FBI) and media into the public discourse by means of deliberate leaks? We must ask: How could such fakery eventually lead to FISA surveillance warrants of U.S. citizens, the appointment of a special counsel, and the attempt by Trump opponents to use Steele to build an impeachment case? Why was the Dossier not investigated quietly by professionals, swiftly discarded, and attention directed towards possible Russian disinformation?

#### **There are several possible answers:**

First, the overwhelmingly anti-Trump media wanted the Dossier to be true and ignored the obvious signs of fakery.

In less-partisan times, the winner of the media race to prove or disprove the Dossier would go down in history, adorned with Pulitzers and other accolades. But these are not normal times. Reporters from the New York Times and Washington Post won Pulitzer Prizes for "their deeply sourced" (read: flawed) writing on the Russian affair, while Wall Street Journal opinion writers, above all Kim Strassel, got it right, but no prize.

Second and more disturbing, heads of intelligence services were either grossly incompetent or vested in discrediting a new U.S. President, with whose views they disagreed. Neither explanation is palatable. There may not be a "deep state," but individual actors seemed to act as if there is such a thing.

Third, there continues to be a curious lack of interest in the "respectable" links between Russian interests and the Washington lobbying elite. The opposition research firm that commissioned the Steele Dossier (Fusion GPS) also represented Russian interests in its most important lobbying endeavor (lifting the Magnitsky sanctions). Were respected Washington firms, headed by retired Democratic and Republican figures,

somehow involved in schemes, such as the Steele Dossier, which gave Vladimir Putin his greatest foreign policy achievement - the paralysis of the U.S. government?

Qx

Listen: <https://americanpatriotsocial.com/Qx/LTA/audio/LTA-98.mp3>