

THE STRAWMAN REDEMPTION PROCESS

ARTICLE 15

A BRIEF HISTORY OF THE UNITED STATES - PART 4

CONTRACTS

Failure to understand the above and realize what law you are dealing with when you go into their court, will only lead to failure.

Even if you have filed your UCC-1 and have captured your Title and your artificial entity, this makes no difference in their courts. Why? They operate in total fiction, in the Land of Oz, in respect to any assumed standing which you may, by mistake, think otherwise. They can only recognize contracts. You are a real sentient being outside of their created social compact, contractually speaking. Whatever you file in their court, whether it is your UCC-1 or use any of their perceived Law which is copyrighted, in the Administrative or Judicial power of their Original Jurisdiction inside of their established social compacts or otherwise, is all that is real, lawful, and credibly in truth to them. They do not recognize truth of any sort, other than by such compacts or the treaties between such social compacts. They only recognize fictions known as corporations, which they administer, and/or contract law governing social compacts and their corporations and such applicable treaties between them.

So, when you go into any court, be aware that it is their private copyrighted law, that the judge or the prosecutor can 'construe' and 'construct' that law in any fashion they choose. They call this practicing Law. It will always mean what they choose it to mean according to the present custom, usages, and practices of the day.

So, are their courts bound by the Constitution? Law? Statutes? No! Their Courts are bound by contracts only and the statutes used to enforce the contracts. When we use their statutes, Constitution, UCC, rules and regulations - all copyrighted without a license from the BAR - we are in violation of copyright infringement and punishment is mandatory.

There is NO Law in this illusionary Nation/State (read Norman Angell's "The Great Illusion" [1910] reprinted in 1933) under whatever form or name for which such is known - or the world for that matter - there is only contract law by which the private people (Sovereigns) treat with one another in the so-called Global Public Forum where commerce is concerned and is the Order of the Day, known as the International Public Order via Private International Law, between Sovereigns and/or their created social compacts and corporate constructs.

Summary

We can see throughout all walks of life in our collective history that Babylon, or however one wishes to refer historically to an oppressive system of whatever form any social compact of society takes, commerce and Merchant Law have followed wherever the productive people go.

The Bankers were waiting in the wings when the founding forefathers established a new social compact for themselves. It was only two years after the Constitution was enacted that the bankers threw them into bankruptcy. The newly founded government of the social compact moved over to the side under the assumed ten square mile jurisdiction their congress controlled.

In 1860, the Southern states walked out of Congress as stated earlier. This officially ended the lawful side of the Constitution under a Republican form of Government. Due to on-going breaches of the social compact by several of the beneficiaries, within several of the individual compact party member states constructs, and their abuse of the federal branches of the social compact designed to forbid such breaches, but instead, uphold the breaches to the social compact until the Union was reduced to chaos and eventually destroyed and replaced by a new form of Republic (see the Gettysburg address by the attorney, President Lincoln) not unlike the continued revamping of the 4 or 5 French Republics, historically, until the bankers had complete control of the social compact to their liking.

In 1871, the assumed ten square miles and its territories that congress controlled was incorporated in England. The Constitution was adopted as the by-laws of their corporation.

This ended, completely, their previous Constitutional standing. The beneficiaries of the Original Signatories (You know, their BLOOD posterity) to the Social Compact no longer had a Constitution within the framework which their forefather's had created for their benefit by and through such agencies in Offices of Trust, Honor or Profit, could or would be bound or controlled to the beneficiaries' sole and express benefit.

THE UNITED STATES as a corporation, created in England by and through treaty, now came under the jurisdiction of England. This entitled England to create laws as England saw fit to do. England established those laws in THE UNITED STATES and everyone who at that time or would be by such misrepresentations as could be foisted upon the unsuspecting people, were and are 14th Amendment citizens. They were and are subject to obey those laws however defined by their esquires (Attorneys). This also placed the Congress of THE UNITED STATES above that portion of what we think is the Constitution, not under the authority of the Constitution. Copyrighted, remember? The only Bill of Rights relative to all Walks of Life at that point in time were eradicated, via Martial Law, by four Articles of Amendment -- 13th, 14th 15th, and 16th. This is all the Courts are required to take cognizance of whenever you appear in their courts.

Next the Merchants of Babylon, the bankers, moved deeper into our nation by the establishment of the Federal Reserve Bank in 1913 and the IRS to collect the interest on their loans made to the UNITED STATES.

The 1929 stock market crash and the Great Depression that followed placed the people in desperation, homelessness, poverty and even starvation. This orchestrated bankruptcy was not only local but was carried out repeatedly on a planetary scale. The minds of all people were orchestrated and forced to focus on survival. They were then in a condition to accept any handout (New Deal) given by the (New Order) government, no matter what the cost to their (Fair Deal) freedoms.

President Franklin Delano Roosevelt treasonously placed the beneficiaries' social compact trust entirely into socialism.

All walks of life were drawn in as 14th Amendment citizens through the registration of our birth certificates. All walks of life were further enticed deeper into that system by volunteering for many other licenses and privileges without any consideration given by the government to reduce our Rights into privileges and then to be reduced to paying fees for the exercising of such privileges which could be taken by the State for whatever reason it deems necessary. All walks of life were also made enemies of THE UNITED STATES. This act gave the UNITED STATES authority, under the laws of war and as an alien captured people, to force anything upon us they choose to create unless one emerges as discussed above.

Thereafter, all walks of life sank further into socialistic communism. If you read the ten planks of communism (the Communistic Manifesto), you'll discover that this nation has fulfilled every plank successfully. We are a Communistic Nation, period.

Then, in 1976, Congress removed any semblance of justice in our court system with Senate bill 94-201 and 94-381 as stated on page 25. From this point forward, the 'officers of the court' can construe and construct the laws to mean anything they choose them to mean.

As 14th Amendment citizens, we the people are not citizens of their social compact like we have always been taught to think. We are actually, each and every one of us, a Sovereign of Planet Earth, through the Unalienable Birthrights to which the laws Nature and Nature's Creator entitled us.

Today, as in ancient Babylon, various walks of life have idols of worship, of which money, i.e. Federal Reserve Notes, represent such as graven images created by people. Both represent a fiction of construed value, for whatever reason any market would bear, based upon conditions of supply and demand. The value established is whatever is given accordingly, relative to anyone's particular inordinate affection of such idols.

Today law has become a fiction of corporate copyrighted statutes, to be interpreted by 'judges' who construe and construct whatever they choose to have those statutes mean.

Do you now have a different viewpoint on where you actually are now from where you thought you were before starting this process?

Demonstrate to those of like or kindred spirits the difference between where you were, or thought you were, when you began this process and where you now know you are in terms of your political, citizen and legal standing within the social construct known as the UNITED STATES

Now, The Rest of the Story Of the Term "Titles of Nobility"

The Hierarchy of Authority, from the Sovereign man/woman, to their family, has ever existed on any other presumed authority relative to any particular one or another outside of contract, to anyone in the family with respect to any neighborhood, or in any townships, or in any counties, or in any states, or in any country, and finally to any other type of social construct purporting to exist upon this planet or otherwise. Because all such constructs are fictions of the mind in relationship to the flesh and blood, the True Sovereigns of Authority, existing on Planet Earth. Therefore, the divine "Structure of the Family," is the only true source of Sovereignty outside of the Supreme Creator of all Creation. Now that you know the hierarchy of authority that is mapped out as above, is everything running like the above line of command in today's multiple societies or constructs by which the various forms of social compacts exist anywhere? Not quite! You see, the foreign bankers knew they could not control Sovereign's with THIS system. So they decided to design a fictional system, which "looks" like the real thing - but really is not.

The first thing that was done was to make an entity which looked and sounded like the forms of government to which the people of earth were familiar with such as the federal republic entitled "united States of America." Notice that the "u" in united is a small u - that's because it is an adjective, describing the States (noun) of America. What if one capitalized the "U", as in United States? This would be a name, a "title" wouldn't it? So, now we have a "title" for the republic which was incorporated in England in 1871 as an English corporation. So does this mean we are being ruled by a private, foreign operated corporation - NOT a government? Has this happened to most other such governments on Planet Earth? You Bet!

In 1944, the Buck Act (Title 4, U.S.C. 104-1 16) took the sovereignty away from the compact party states so that the enfranchised states could also have a "title" as in "The State of Arizona." Next came the counties and municipalities - each had their own corporations, which usurped the organic government of the Trust organically established. What the beneficiaries had then become were an inverse relationship to the original organic republican form of government as handed to them by their forefathers.

All right, let's go back to history. Let's assume and presume what most people in the year 1788 (January 1) did about the United States as a government - that it was in default to the Crown of England to the tune of 18 million Lira, plus interest. Then, as a direct and proximate result, the U.S. corporate government was bankrupt in their private capacity from the start of

the Constitution. Now, the debt had to be paid for a period of 70 years. After a period of 70 years, if the Bible is *res judicata* and *stare decisis*, the Creator said the people and their social constructs can come out of bankruptcy with their Creditors (England) on December 31, 1858.

And let's say, as an operation of law, at that time some notice was given to the nation that may have gone something like this: "Excuse me, do you people really want to leave Babylon and have your liberty back now, or would you prefer to maintain the Crown of England as your master and serve him faithfully?" Or something along those lines. Look at Leviticus 3:17, which says that "If you love your master and your period of service is up, you can go to the judges, recite the fact that you love your master and you don't want to leave him." You can choose to serve him for the rest of your life by placing yourself into voluntary servitude.

After December 31, 1858, did the Crown of England, through its attorney agents, give notice to the country, "Hey, you guys want to leave (Britain) Babylon and go back to the original jurisdiction which your forefathers established for your benefit? Or, do you want to have your government remain under us?" Now, remember, this could have only pertained to the posterity of the Original signatories to the social compact. The rest of the people walking around have never emerged into any form of social compact to establish their political status according to International Public Order. Thereby, they are considered subjects of the jurisdiction for which they are either found in or reside in or otherwise.

Apparently, the Southern States did not wish to remain under slavery and walked out of Representative United States in Congress Assembled.

Evidently what happened is, the other people to which the social compact applied, failed to give Notice of Lawful Protest. This was their acquiescent divine right to vote to remain in Britain (Babylon) under the Crown of England with continuing debt, plus a reorganization of government. Thus, having failed to do so, they remained under the new law forum because the old law forum to which they were entitled to, i.e., liberty and freedom, was abdicated. The Southern members of the social compact party states walked out, ending the public side of the Constitution. They wanted nothing to do with continued servitude and so noticed the representative agency Congress of the Union and the other various governments concerned (Britain). The people did not want foreign ownership or intrigue in their local politics to override their own governmental structures of self-government. The compact party members of the Northern states did not protest in any manner because they were busy fighting the Civil War, which was foisted upon them through misrepresentation and intrigue by these same foreign agents. Therefore, at the end of such conflict, they were handed a new law forum to which all northern people volunteered into. This was to go on for another 70 years of captivity and subjected their fellow southern brethren to the social compact in like kind to perpetual slavery and/or involuntary servitude without their freewill consent, into the new forum by force of arms. Nothing settled by force is ever settled at all. Free will is the true test of Life, Liberty and the Pursuit of Happiness and any time force is used to hold any condition or Union together, other than to cast such condition out to keep the peace, for breach of contract is illegal and immoral. Any other form of choice is no choice at all. It is an affront against the Divine Creator's Will of Liberty granted to each and every living Man and Women.

Original Jurisdiction

You may use several law dictionaries to look up meanings for law and legal terms. It depends on the author and publisher as to which law forum they publish. If you read "Black's Law Dictionary" you're going to get one opinion of one point of view. If you're reading Bouvier's or Ballentine's you might be getting another point of view. This is inserted here because Black's Law Dictionary came out shortly after this new Constitution was formed in 1887.

Black's Law Dictionary was first published in 1891. That was 20 years, a time of prescription, after the corporate United States came into full force and effect by the Act of February 21, 1871. What does Black's Law Dictionary define? It defines the terms, the legal meanings of words, as they apply to the bifurcated United States Corporation. Roughly every 20 years there has been a new edition of Black's because every 20 year period in use -- is in the bifurcation --. If anyone failed to give a Notice of Lawful Protest, they would go on to the next stage and say, "Let's change it again to see if we can go a little further, and we'll see if anybody protests this." So as you go through any such 20 year segments, 1871, 1891, 1911, 1931, 1951, 1971, 1991, you get different definitions within Black's Law Dictionary.

Remember, bifurcated means separated. The newly incorporated United States is separated from the original jurisdiction (even separated entirely from the Constitution) of the Republican form of Government as established by the U.S. Constitution. Remember that the original Constitution came in with the fact that it contains both the private side and public side, appertaining to the residual sovereignty of the original Signatories. This was passed by hereditary birthrights by way of such reservations, limitations, and restrictions (i.e., Article VI and the attendant Articles of Amendment) within the compact over their creation to which their posterity received (beneficiaries) by contract through the Trust Indenture (Constitution) creating the Social Compact (see Preamble to the Constitution).

The private side of government can never be changed. The private side of government is based upon the Laws of Nature and Nature's Creator, and those laws never change. So the Public side of government, which we call General Jurisdiction, is different from Original Jurisdiction. Their Original Jurisdiction is based on the Laws of Nature and Nature's Creator which are the powers assumed by peoples acquiring by such declarations, their separate and equal station, and establishing the forms of original jurisdictions of government by social compact to secure the peace, safety and happiness for themselves and their posterity. The Laws of Nature that Nature's Creator entitles them to can never change. Only the forms which people use to implement the reasons for which they create any society (i.e., for their benefit), to secure the peace, safety, and the pursuit of happiness according to the dictates of their beliefs, customs, and practices of such, not only for themselves but for their posterity, can change. Could you amend the Original Jurisdiction? Why would you amend the social compact to change that which never changes?

Unless you intend to change the very structure of society of the social compact as a whole, to which the original jurisdiction was created, to protect and pass such protections by birthright

to the posterity by the Will of the Creators through their Testament (Constitution) thereto, there is no reason to do so. To do so, would be diametrically opposed to the dialectical Will and Testament (lex scripta) of the Creators of such social compact, leading to a rebellious war with the Laws of Nature and Nature's Creator's established Pillars of Universal Law via which any and all such social compacts was justly created. To ignore the intent and purposes of the Creators of such social compacts would bring about utter Chaos. A breach of the Peace of the International Public Order to which any other Original Jurisdictions have come to rely upon to maintain the General Order of the Public Arena between them in relation to their intercourse, to which such treaties are established, to secure the blessings of the variety of such societies in creation, as those so created and governed by the Pillars of Universal Law is a treason against each and every Walk of Life on Planet Earth. Such actions, which tend to create chaos, tend to arise from the disrespect of one's ancestors and their refusal to learn the lessons of their predecessors. So Original Jurisdiction is and always remains exactly what it is. It never changes! Only from time to time does the situation arise out of necessity to ordain new constructs for the purposes so delineated ut supra. What is the law? The law never changes, it is the same yesterday, today and tomorrow.

CONTRACT[.] Contract is governed by the Doctrine of Four Corners or that which is expressed in terms on some form of medium as to be an accepted custom and practice as lex scripta and, in vary rare circumstances with exacting evidence to support such, is by such custom and practices recognized as a Maxim of Law so well known for it to be unnecessary to put it in written formality, thus becoming known as lex non scripta by such general acceptance or general acquiescence. This definition of contract is derived from the principles of the "Doctrines of the Maxims of Law" that have been developed down through the millennium of jurisprudence of Mankind guided by the "Divine Spirit of Truth" as recognized by not just one society, but which each and every one of these societies are founded upon. These are the same Maxims, which we have referred to as the Supreme Creator's "Pillars of Universal Laws."

Now, we move to the public side of any social compact. What is this side, the side that is amended from time to time but does not change in respect to intent? That is the public administration side of the various social compacts and/or their respective agency side of these governing compacts. Is the public government law? Yes. This Law affects and controls anyone who is a signatory to some over-lying (above the Constitutional compact) contract conditioned upon the ability to create such agency relationship, arising from the social compacts respective thereto, and to whom such agencies are to be bound within any administrative manner, relative thereto, and further, in relationship to their consideration given for performance of certain conditions governed thereby, concerning any such over-lying (treaty) contract.

Furthermore, it is contract which establishes and governs any means to create internal and external management, policies and procedures (such as venues, forums and/or jurisdictions), rules and/or regulations thereby which to inform parties to whom such concerns or however their Law is known as it may apply or not and to whomever, to help determine their use and

their procedure applying to their assets and their property belonging to their private and any corporate side of their public side of government, created to give Order within their Social Compact, relative to any foreign exchange from the public side of government to the private side of government.

Just think for a minute. Does a private owner of a business or property have any political right to make his own rules, regulations and "law" for use of his own property? Yes, he does. That is exactly what their statutes, regulations and rules are. They are internal management, policies, and procedures. They deal with their property and assets of their private side of their government in relationship to any agency public side of their government.

In 1871, did "All Walks of Life" not signatory to any other such social compact fall under their incorporated jurisdiction of their private government? Yes and No. Only those who lived in their City of Washington, their District of Columbia, and/or their United States and its territories and any and all registered voters (14th Amendment slaves [citizens pursuant to their 15th Article of Amendment. Now on to the second part of this answer, No! Due to the fact, that All Walks of Life have a choice to emerge into any other political status freely determined by that People to proclaim their separate and equal station, and assume among the powers of Earth, their separate and equal station to which Nature's Law and Nature's Creator entitle them within the framework of the International Public Order.

The particular conflict known as the Civil War between the Several States of the Union did not touch upon "All Walks of Life." What All Walks of Life within any locale of that, or any other conflict, continually educates others to believe is that those of the Social Compact (those who formed and/or presently administer any such Social Compact) are serving all interests. In fact, such compact party members thereof are simply carrying out their design of action for their own private reasons and gain. By controlling their centers of education relative from childhood throughout adulthood, members of such social compacts continue to teach others outside of such compact that there was/is some duty owed or allegiance given on the part of those of the various Walks of Life. But, for all intents and purposes, in reality such Walks of Life do not owe either - bearing in mind that they are neither a party signatory to such social compact or directly related by blood as one of their posterity thereof. Therefore, such Walks of Life have little or nothing whatsoever to do with a Social Compact known as the Several States of the Union, commonly referred to as the United States of America, not unlike so many others before them who had been so enticed, appertaining to others outside such social compacts (those not signatory or related by blood to those signatory to the compact) and drawn into conflicts then at hand or otherwise. This type of education upon all Walks of Life help firm up positions from either side of any conflict for the particular parties' own private reasons, whether or not those reasons were just in any eyes of those foreign or otherwise to their compact or not. Through such misrepresentations and conditioning of the minds of those foreign to their social compact, were their members to their compact successful at controlling the outcome of that particular conflict or otherwise from the outset. From an assumed and definite presumed authority, that those outside their compact believed as educated by member agencies of the various social compacts truly had or have any rightful authority to do

so upon a vast populous. This same type of educational program continues to perpetrate the same mindset to keep all Walks of Life under various forms of control to this very day. They will continue to do so with their same tools of misrepresentations and false education. Whenever any such conflict arises, in respect to the needs of their members of their Social Compacts, all the Sovereign Peoples of Earth shall remain fodder for these compacts until these Sovereign People become aware of the Supreme Law (Treaty) and how to use Private International Law within the International Public Order (for which all social compacts are founded upon) for their benefit for those who choose or wish to emerge into any other political status for whatever various reasons, into a social compact for their own safety, liberty, and pursuit of happiness. Rather than to continue to exist for others who have done so for whatever private reason. Those Walks of Life who continue to refuse to emerge into whatever form of compact for their benefit will always be at the mercy of those who have [.] Without exception.

Returning now to further comment upon the original private corporate government back in 1789, appertaining to the social compact known as the United States, this social compact was established on certain principles and rules. But, as we've seen, it went through a bankruptcy almost right away, and with each stage of their bankruptcy there was reorganization.

Reorganization creates a new set of circumstances, and probably a new set of creditors and/or masters with rules to discharge their old bankruptcy. Roughly every 20 years you have a re organization, you get different changes in the rules and regulations, and it just goes on and on. As the proprietors and creditors of their private law forum, it goes into worse and worse bankruptcy, creating tighter and tighter rules in order to raise revenue to keep things going, and that is what you see today.

Look at the back of one of your so-called bills. Do you see an Egyptian pyramid? This is the symbol and logo of the U.S. Treasury! Have you observed the architecture of Washington D.C. with its Egyptian monoliths, columns, stairways and Corinth's? What are the colors of Egypt? - Red, white, and blue. What is the symbol of Egypt - the FIVE pointed star. Egypt means hemmed in or "boxed" in - District of Columbia is assumed to be a ten miles "square."

The District (UNITED STATES) of Columbia was started by the Illuminati, a Masonic group that originated in, yes - Egypt! What do you think the Illuminati call the UNITED STATES? You guessed it - New Egypt! If you are noticing any similarities here, feel free to discuss them with others among those who seek the truth of history, locally or otherwise.

View All: <https://americanpatriotsocial.com/strawman/the-strawman-redemption-process.html>

-

NOTE: If you would like to comment or join the active discussion on this post please visit the link below to join 1350 group on American Patriot Social.

<https://AmericanPatriotSocial.com/1350/>